



## RESEARCH LETTER

10.1029/2019GL086296

## Oxygen Vacancy Substitution Linked to Ferric Iron in Bridgmanite at 27 GPa

Hongzhan Fei<sup>1</sup> , Zhaodong Liu<sup>1</sup> , Catherine McCammon<sup>1</sup> , and Tomoo Katsura<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bayerisches Geoinstitut, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany

### Key Points:

- The presence of (Mg,Fe)O enhances the formation of the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> component in Al-free bridgmanite
- Fe<sup>3+</sup> substitution predominantly follows the oxygen vacancy mechanism in (Mg,Fe)O-saturated Al-free bridgmanite when Fe<sup>3+</sup> content is low
- The solubility of the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> component in Al-free bridgmanite is about 0.025 pfu, and relatively insensitive to temperature

### Supporting Information:

- Supporting Information S1

### Correspondence to:

H. Fei,  
hongzhan.fe@uni-bayreuth.de

### Citation:

Fei, H., Liu, Z., McCammon, C., & Katsura, T. (2020). Oxygen vacancy substitution linked to ferric iron in bridgmanite at 27 GPa. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2019GL086296. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086296>

Received 19 NOV 2019

Accepted 1 MAR 2020



Accepted article online 5 MAR 2020

**Abstract** Ferric iron can be incorporated into the crystal structure of bridgmanite by either oxygen vacancy substitution (MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> component) or charge-coupled substitution (FeFeO<sub>3</sub> component) mechanisms. We investigated the concentrations of MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> and FeFeO<sub>3</sub> in bridgmanite in the MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system at 27 GPa and 1700–2300 K using a multianvil apparatus. The FeFeO<sub>3</sub> content increases from 1.6 to 7.6 mol.% and from 5.7 to 17.9 mol.% with and without coexistence of (Mg,Fe)O, respectively, with increasing temperature from 1700 to 2300 K. In contrast, the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> content does not show clear temperature dependence, that is, ~2–3 and < 2 mol.% with and without the coexistence of (Mg,Fe)O, respectively. Therefore, the presence of (Mg,Fe)O enhances the oxygen vacancy substitution for Fe<sup>3+</sup> in bridgmanite. It is predicted that Fe<sup>3+</sup> is predominantly substituted following the oxygen vacancy mechanism in (Mg,Fe)O-saturated Al-free bridgmanite when Fe<sup>3+</sup> is below ~0.025 pfu, whereas the charge-coupled mechanism occurs when Fe<sup>3+</sup> > 0.025 pfu.

**Plain Language Summary** Bridgmanite, the most abundant mineral of the Earth's lower mantle, can contain Fe<sup>3+</sup> although the valance of iron is 2+ in general. An important question is how Fe<sup>3+</sup> is substituted in the crystal structure of bridgmanite. It may form the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> component, in which oxygen anions are partly missing. Or it may form the FeFeO<sub>3</sub> component, which has no missing cations or anions. Since bridgmanite is present in the lower mantle together with (Mg,Fe)O, we investigated the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> and FeFeO<sub>3</sub> contents in Al-free bridgmanite that coexists with and without (Mg,Fe)O under the topmost lower mantle conditions. The results show that the presence of (Mg,Fe)O enhances the formation of MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub>. The solubility of MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> component is about 2.5 mol.% in bridgmanite that coexists with (Mg,Fe)O, whereas it is nearly zero when (Mg,Fe)O is absent.

## 1. Introduction

Bridgmanite, with typical chemical formula (Mg,Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub>, comprises around 80 vol.% of the lower mantle (e.g., Frost, 2008; Ringwood, 1991; Tschauner et al., 2014), and therefore, it dominates the physical and chemical processes of the lower mantle. Due to its variable valence, iron is the most important element that affects the properties of bridgmanite and thus mantle dynamics (e.g., Ismailova et al., 2016). Generally, iron is incorporated into the crystal structure of bridgmanite in the Mg site (A site) with the ferrous valence state. However, it is also known that a large amount of iron in bridgmanite can be in the ferric valence state even under reduced lower mantle conditions (Frost et al., 2004; Frost & McCammon, 2008; Grocholski et al., 2009; Jackson et al., 2005; Lauterbach et al., 2000; McCammon, 1997; McCammon et al., 2004). By analogy with aluminum substitutions in bridgmanite through the formation of MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> and AlAlO<sub>3</sub> components (Brodholt, 2000; Kojitani et al., 2007; Liu, Ishii, & Katsura, 2017; Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017; Liu, Akaogi, & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Boffa-Ballaran, et al., 2019; Navrotsky, 1999), it is expected that the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> (oxygen vacancy mechanism) and FeFeO<sub>3</sub> (charge-coupled mechanism) components, respectively, will be formed by Fe<sup>3+</sup> incorporation through the following reactions (e.g., Hummer & Fei, 2012; Navrotsky, 1999):



where the subscripts A and B denote the Mg and Si sites, respectively (we follow the Kröger and Vink, 1956 notation for point defects, i.e., V<sub>O</sub><sup>••</sup> indicates a vacant O site with two effective positive charges, Mg<sub>A</sub><sup>×</sup> means

©2020. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Table 1**  
Run Conditions, Phase Assemblage, and Composition of Bridgmanite in Run Products

Starting material	Run no.	T (K)	t (hr)	Phase assemblage	Composition of bridgmanite										
					N	MgO (wt.%)	SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	Total (wt.%)	Mg (pfu)	Si (pfu)	Fe (pfu)	O (pfu)	FeFeO <sub>3</sub> (mol.%)	MgFeO <sub>2.5</sub> (mol.%)
MgO-richa	1567	2000	24	Bridgmanite	13	37.52 (67)	54.38 (96)	10.55 (109)	102.45 (54)	0.946 (10)	0.920 (11)	0.134 (15)	2.987 (8)	5.4 (10)	2.6 (15)
	1574	2300	9	+ MgFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	17	35.94 (99)	52.29 (80)	13.47 (42)	101.70 (123)	0.923 (15)	0.902 (13)	0.175 (7)	2.989 (14)	7.6 (15)	2.2 (27)
	1580	1700	40	+ (Mg,Fe)O	14	40.02 (0.37)	58.03 (0.38)	4.77 (0.34)	102.82 (0.54)	0.984 (6)	0.957 (6)	0.059 (4)	2.987 (6)	1.6 (7)	2.7 (12)
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -richb	1597	1700	40		8	39.83 (38)	58.16 (63)	4.90 (25)	102.88 (71)	0.980 (5)	0.960 (6)	0.061 (3)	2.990 (5)	2.0 (5)	2.0 (10)
	1567	2000	24	Bridgmanite	16	33.59 (80)	49.12 (118)	18.51 (106)	101.22 (92)	0.885 (14)	0.868 (14)	0.246 (16)	2.992 (12)	11.5 (14)	1.7 (23)
	1574	2300	9	+ MgFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	15	29.72 (94)	43.57 (194)	26.67 (211)	99.96 (170)	0.821 (18)	0.807 (25)	0.372 (36)	2.993 (13)	17.9 (18)	1.4 (26)
	1597	1700	40		13	37.71 (69)	56.03 (88)	9.23 (135)	102.98 (63)	0.943 (11)	0.940 (10)	0.117 (18)	2.998 (6)	5.7 (11)	0.3 (11)
	1681	2000	10		12	32.78 (55)	49.34 (152)	18.74 (74)	100.85 (182)	0.870 (8)	0.879 (13)	0.251 (12)	3.004 (9)	13.0 (8)	-0.8 (18)
1646	2300	20		9	31.45 (94)	46.78 (126)	23.40 (246)	101.63 (67)	0.843 (19)	0.841 (18)	0.316 (35)	2.999 (7)	15.7 (19)	0.2 (13)	

Note. All experiments were performed at 27 GPa. T: temperature. t: annealing duration. N: number of analyzed points by EPMA.

<sup>a</sup>MgO-rich: mixture of oxides with bulk composition of MgSiO<sub>3</sub> + MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + MgO (60:20:20 atomic ratio). <sup>b</sup>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-rich: mixture of oxides with bulk composition of MgSiO<sub>3</sub> + MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (60:20:20 atomic ratio).

a Mg ion on the A site with neutral charge, and Fe'<sub>B</sub> represents an Fe<sup>3+</sup> ion on the B site with one effective negative charge).

A question is how much Fe<sup>3+</sup> in bridgmanite can be stored as MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> and/or FeFeO<sub>3</sub> components under lower mantle conditions. The MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> component in bridgmanite can reach up to 6.4 mol.% in the MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system depending on pressure, temperature, and Mg/Si atomic ratio, which is expected to significantly affect lower mantle rheology (Liu, Ishii, and Katsura et al., 2017; Liu, Akaogi, & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Boffa-Ballaran, et al., 2019). In the case of the MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system, although previous studies show that the Fe<sup>3+</sup> occupies the A and B sites nearly equally (Andrault & Bolfan-Casanova, 2001; Catalli et al., 2010), indicating a very small amount of the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> component, their experiments were performed within diamond anvil cells where determination of sample chemical compositions are difficult, prohibiting a precise determination of MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> and FeFeO<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Hummer and Fei (2012) investigated Fe<sup>3+</sup> substitution mechanisms using multianvil experiments; however, their experiments did not reach chemical equilibrium as demonstrated by the coexistence of unreacted MgO and SiO<sub>2</sub> phases. Essentially, previous studies (Andrault & Bolfan-Casanova, 2001; Catalli et al., 2010; Hummer & Fei, 2012; Liu et al., 2018; Sinmyo et al., 2019) used starting materials without saturation of MgO (atomic Mg/Si = 1.0 or lower), which may prohibit the formation of MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> based on observations that MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> decreases with decreasing Mg/Si ratio in the MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system because of the reaction 2MgO + Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = 2MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> (Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017). In contrast, the Earth's lower mantle contains ferropericlase, and the concentration of MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> should thus be maximized.


Therefore, the phase relations of bridgmanite with MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> and FeFeO<sub>3</sub> components, characterized by B site Fe<sup>3+</sup>, may depend on whether periclase (or ferropericlase) coexists or not according to the reaction 2MgO + Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = 2MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub>. To clarify this further, we investigated the defect chemistry of bridgmanite in assemblage with a separate MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase and either presence or absence of a (Mg,Fe) O phase, using a multianvil apparatus.

## 2. Experimental and Analytical Methods

### 2.1. Starting Material and High-Pressure Experiments

MgO, SiO<sub>2</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (purity > 99.9%, natural isotopic ratios) from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation were used as starting materials. MgO and SiO<sub>2</sub> were dried at 1273 K, whereas Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was dried at 773 K prior to weighing. Fine-mixed powders with bulk compositions of MgSiO<sub>3</sub> + MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + MgO (60:20:20 in mole proportion, hereafter MgO-rich sample) and MgSiO<sub>3</sub> + MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (60:20:20 in mole proportion, hereafter Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-rich sample) were obtained by repeatedly grinding in ethanol for homogeneity.

After drying in a vacuum furnace at 420 K, the two mixtures (both MgO-rich and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-rich separated by a piece of Pt foil) were filled into Pt tube capsules (OD = 1.0 mm, ID = 0.8 mm). Each capsule was loaded into an MgO sleeve within a LaCrO<sub>3</sub> heater in a 5 wt.% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-doped MgO octahedron with an edge length of 7.0 mm (the standard 7/3 cell assembly at Bayerisches Geoinstitut), and compressed to 27 GPa at ambient temperature by eight pieces of Fujillo-TF05 type tungsten carbide anvils with edge length of 26 mm and truncation edge length of 3.0 mm using the multianvil press at Bayerisches Geoinstitut, IRIS-15 (Ishii et al., 2016). After reaching the target pressure of 27 GPa, the assembly was heated to a target temperature (1700–2300 K as listed in Table 1) at a ramping rate of 100 K/min measured by a W/Re (D-type) thermocouple. The annealing durations were 9–40 hr




**Figure 1.** Backscattered SEM images of run products at 27 GPa and 1700–2300 K for both (a, c, and e) MgO-rich and (b, d, and f) Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-rich samples, which appear as coexisting bridgmanite (gray) + MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (bright) + (Mg,Fe)O (dark) phases and bridgmanite (gray) + MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (bright) phases, respectively.

(Table 1), which is sufficiently long to achieve chemical equilibrium (Frost & Langenhorst, 2002) as confirmed by the run products. The samples were quenched to room temperature by switching off the heating power and decompressed to ambient conditions over a duration exceeding 15 hr. The recovered run products were mounted in epoxy resin and their cross sections were prepared by polishing with emery papers and diamond pastes.

## 2.2. Sample Characterization

*Scanning electron microscope (SEM).* SEM analysis was performed on each sample, using a backscattering detector and an acceleration voltage of 20 kV associated with an energy dispersive detector. Run products appeared as coexisting bridgmanite + MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + (Mg,Fe)O and bridgmanite + MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> for the MgO-rich and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-rich samples, respectively (Table 1), as observed in backscattering detector images (Figure 1) and SEM-EDS point analysis. No unreacted particles (SiO<sub>2</sub> or Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> grains) were found in any of the sample capsules.

*Microfocus X-ray diffraction (XRD).* XRD analysis was performed on the recovered samples using a micro focused X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS D8 Discover) equipped with a two-dimensional solid-state




**Figure 2.** Representative X-ray diffraction spectra of run products (Run I567). (top) Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-rich sample. (bottom) MgO-rich sample. Bridgmanite (bdg), CaMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-type MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (CM), and (Mg,Fe)O-periclase (pc) phases were identified, as well as the Pt from the sample capsules.

detector and a microfocus source of Co-K $\alpha$  radiation operated at 40 kV and 500  $\mu$ A. The exposure time is about 4 hr for each sample with beam size of  $\sim$ 100  $\mu$ m. Bridgmanite and ferropericlase phases were clearly identified, and additional peaks were characterized as a CaMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-structured MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase. This is consistent with the observation by Andraut and Bolfan-Casanova (2001), although a CaTi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-type structure was proposed by a more recent study (Greenberg et al., 2017). Pt peaks from sample capsules also appeared in the sample diffraction patterns due to the limited spatial resolution of the diffractometer (Figure 2).

**Mössbauer Spectroscopy.** Mössbauer spectra were collected over 500  $\mu$ m diameter spots on samples of 120  $\mu$ m thickness at room temperature in transmission mode on a constant acceleration Mössbauer spectrometer with a nominal 370 MBq <sup>57</sup>Co high specific activity (point) source in a 12  $\mu$ m Rh matrix. The velocity scale was calibrated relative to 25  $\mu$ m thick  $\alpha$ -Fe foil using the positions certified for standard reference material no. 1541 of the (former) National Bureau of Standards. Line widths of 0.36 mm/s for the outer lines of  $\alpha$ -Fe were obtained at room temperature. Measurement times for each spectrum varied from 4 to 8 hr. The effective Mössbauer thickness of samples varied between 5 and 10 mg Fe/cm<sup>2</sup>. Spectra were fit by the program MossA (Prescher et al., 2012) using the full transmission integral to multiple doublets with pseudo-Voigt line shape to account for next nearest neighbor effects. There is no detectable Fe<sup>2+</sup> in bridgmanite from the run products within experimental uncertainty (Figure 3), and we assume that Fe<sup>3+</sup>/ $\Sigma$ Fe  $\approx$  100%.

**Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA).** Concentrations of major elements (Mg, Si, Fe) in run products were obtained using a JEOL JXA-8200 electron microprobe with a wavelength-dispersive spectrometer operated with an acceleration voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 5 nA, and a counting time of 20 s. Focused point analysis with an excitation region of  $\sim$ 1  $\mu$ m was applied. An enstatite single crystal was used as the standard for Mg and Si, metallic Fe was used for Fe, whereas O was calculated by stoichiometry. Since the Pt capsule may absorb Fe from the samples, grains near the capsule wall (within a few microns) with slightly lower Fe% were avoided. The compositions of bridgmanite are listed in Table 1, whereas (Mg,Fe)O and MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phases, which have Fe/Mg ratios of 0.02–0.07 and 1.94–2.38, respectively, are given in the supporting information.

Molar concentrations of MgSiO<sub>3</sub>, MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub>, and FeFeO<sub>3</sub> components in bridgmanite were calculated from



**Figure 3.** Room temperature Mössbauer spectra of run products (Run I574). The blue and purple doublets correspond to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in bridgmanite and  $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$  phases, respectively, whereas the yellow doublet is assigned to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  in  $(\text{Mg,Fe})\text{O}$ . There is no detectable  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  in bridgmanite within the data scatter. (top) MgO-rich sample. (bottom)  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -rich sample.

1700 to 2300 K. Bridgmanite in MgO-rich samples has lower proportions of the  $\text{FeFeO}_3$  component than in  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -rich samples.

The concentration of bridgmanite component  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  is well below 2 mol.% in  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -rich samples although the uncertainty is relatively large. MgO-rich samples have a  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  concentration of 2 to 3 mol.% at 1700–2300 K (Figure 4(b)). Therefore, the presence of MgO enhances the formation of the  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  component in bridgmanite, but only slightly in the presence of  $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ . A similar relationship was also observed in the Fe-free and Al-bearing bridgmanite coexisting with MgO and  $\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4$  (Liu, Boffa-Ballaran, et al., 2019). In contrast to the temperature-induced increase in the  $\text{FeFeO}_3$  component, the concentration of the  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  component is insensitive to temperature. This could be because either the temperature dependence is hidden by the uncertainty of data points, or because the  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  reaches the maximum solubility even at 1700 K. Thus, the increase of total  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  with temperature is dominated by increasing  $\text{FeFeO}_3$  component, rather than increasing  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  component.

$$\text{Mg}_x\text{Fe}_y\text{Si}_z\text{O}_{x+1.5y+2z} = z\text{MgSiO}_3 + (x-z)\text{MgFeO}_{2.5} + \frac{y-x+z}{2}\text{FeFeO}_3 \quad (3)$$

where  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are the atomic numbers per formula unit (pfu) from EPMA analysis. The  $\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{SiO}_3$  component was excluded since  $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\Sigma\text{Fe} \approx 100\%$  based on Mössbauer spectroscopy analysis, which is also confirmed by the low  $\text{Fe}/\text{Mg}$  ratio in ferropericlase.

### 3. Results and Discussion


#### 3.1. Total $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ Concentration in Bridgmanite

The concentration of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in bridgmanite systematically increases from 0.06 to 0.17 pfu and from 0.12 to 0.37 pfu in MgO-rich and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -rich samples, respectively, with increasing temperature from 1700 to 2300 K (Figure 4a). It is noted that only two phases, bridgmanite and  $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ , coexist in run products of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -rich samples (Table 1). According to the phase rule, there is one more degree of freedom in addition to pressure and temperature in the MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> three-component system, and as a result, the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  concentration in bridgmanite should be correlated with the bulk  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  content in the starting material.

In contrast, three phases, bridgmanite,  $(\text{Mg, Fe})\text{O}$ , and  $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ , coexist in the MgO-rich samples (Table 1). With fixed pressure and temperature, the composition of each phase should also be fixed and independent of the bulk composition of the starting material in the three-component system. Therefore, the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  contents in the MgO-rich samples should represent the solubility of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in bridgmanite with saturation of  $(\text{Mg,Fe})\text{O}$  under the given pressure and temperature conditions, that is, from 0.06 to 0.17 pfu with temperatures from 1700 to 2300 K (Figure 4a).

#### 3.2. $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$ and $\text{FeFeO}_3$ Concentrations in Bridgmanite by EPMA


The molar fractions of the bridgmanite components,  $\text{MgSiO}_3$ ,  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$ , and  $\text{FeFeO}_3$  were calculated sequentially based on Si, remaining Mg, and remaining Fe, respectively (Table 1). Figure 4b illustrates the proportions of ferric iron components versus temperature and shows that the  $\text{FeFeO}_3$  component increases systematically for both MgO-rich and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -rich samples with increasing temperature from



**Figure 4.** Atomic concentrations of MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub>, FeFeO<sub>3</sub>, and total Fe<sup>3+</sup> per formula unit (oxygen = 3) in bridgmanite, assuming MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> + FeFeO<sub>3</sub> + MgSiO<sub>3</sub> = 100%. (upper panel) Total Fe<sup>3+</sup> concentration. (lower panel) Proportions of the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> and FeFeO<sub>3</sub> components.

### 3.3. Fe<sup>3+</sup> Substitution Mechanisms in Bridgmanite

It has been previously proposed that oxygen vacancy substitution by Fe<sup>3+</sup> operates in bridgmanite in addition to the charge-coupled substitution mechanism (e.g., Frost & Langenhorst, 2002; Frost & McCammon, 2008; Walter et al., 2004); however, the concentration and formation condition of the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> component have not been well constrained. Andrault and Bolfan-Casanova (2001) and Catalli et al. (2010) found roughly equal distribution of Fe<sup>3+</sup> on Mg and Si sites; nevertheless, sample compositions in these diamond anvil experiments were uncertain due to small sample size. Hummer and Fei (2012) investigated Fe<sup>3+</sup> substitution mechanisms based on well-constrained chemical compositions of bridgmanite samples recovered from large-volume multianvil experiments. They concluded that Fe<sup>3+</sup> substitutes into bridgmanite by a combination of oxygen vacancy (equation 1) and charge-coupled (equation 2) mechanisms when Fe content is low (<0.05 pfu) because Mg content is either higher or



**Figure 5.** Mg (open symbols) and Si (filled symbols) per formula unit in bridgmanite (oxygen = 3) as a function of the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  content for both MgO-rich and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -rich samples. Error bars represent 1 standard deviation of uncertainty calculated from EPMA measurements in Table 1. Small orange and dark blue symbols are from reference HF12 (Hummer & Fei, 2012). Thin solid and dashed lines indicate theoretical concentrations of Mg, Si per formula unit by pure  $\text{FeFeO}_3$  and  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  substitutions, respectively. Crossovers of Mg, Si pfu in MgO-rich samples (red lines) and theoretical calculations of pure  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  substitution (thin dashed lines) occur at  $\text{Fe}^{3+} \approx 0.025$  pfu.

comparable with Si. When Fe content is higher (0.074 pfu), charge-coupled (equation 2) and Mg vacancy mechanisms ( $3\text{Mg}_A^\times \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}_A^\bullet + \text{V}_A''$  with  $\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{SiO}_3$  component) occur because  $\text{Si} > \text{Mg}$ . However, the relative magnitudes of Mg and Si that they obtained show no systematic variation with Fe content (Figure 5 in this study and Figure 3 in Hummer & Fei, 2012), and therefore are insufficient for interpretation of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  substitution mechanisms.

In our MgO-rich samples, both Mg and Si contents deviate slightly from theoretical calculations of a pure charge-coupled substitution mechanism (Figure 5). The Mg content is systematically higher than Si within the investigated Fe content range from 0.06 to 0.17 pfu, indicating an oxygen vacancy substitution with formation of a  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  component. On the other hand,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -rich samples have Mg and Si contents that match a pure charge-coupled mechanism without detectable oxygen vacancy substitution (Figure 5). Therefore, the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  substitution mechanism in bridgmanite is dominantly controlled by the saturation condition of MgO, rather than by Fe content. The Mg vacancy substitution mechanism proposed by Hummer and Fei (2012) is not observed in this study, although the exact reason for this discrepancy is unclear. One possible reason is that ferric iron in their iron-rich sample ( $\text{Fe} = 0.074$  pfu) might be partially reduced, leading to the formation of a  $\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{SiO}_3$  component.

In Figure 5, the lines of decreasing Mg and Si with increasing  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in bridgmanite from MgO-rich samples intersect the corresponding Si- and Mg-vectors for pure  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  substitution at about 0.025 pfu, suggesting that oxygen vacancy substitution is preferred relative to charge-coupled substitution for low  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ . When  $\text{Fe}^{3+} \leq 0.025$  pfu, the majority of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  should follow the oxygen vacancy substitution mechanism. With increasing  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  content increases in this compositional range. When the  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  content reaches the solubility limit of 0.025 pfu, additional  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  will follow the charge-coupled mechanism and thus the amount of  $\text{MgFeO}_{2.5}$  remains constant, which appears as nearly parallel curves of Mg or Si contents and pure charge-coupled substitution in Figure 5.

### 3.4. Implications for Bridgmanite Chemistry

The Earth's lower mantle is mainly composed of bridgmanite, ferropericlase, and CaSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite (Frost, 2008; Ringwood, 1991; Tschauner et al., 2014), meaning that bridgmanite is under MgO-saturated conditions. Since a significant amount of iron in bridgmanite is ferric (Frost et al., 2004; Grocholski et al., 2009; Jackson et al., 2005; Lauterbach et al., 2000; McCammon, 1997; McCammon et al., 2004), the concentration of the MgFeO<sub>2.5</sub> component by oxygen vacancy substitution could be significant. On the other hand, bridgmanite in the lower mantle contains some Al (e.g., Irifune et al., 2010), which could affect the Fe<sup>3+</sup> substitution mechanism via the formation of the FeAlO<sub>3</sub> component with Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Al<sup>3+</sup> occupying A and B sites, respectively (e.g., Richmond & Brodholt, 1998; Zhang & Oganov, 2006 as reviewed in Frost & McCammon, 2008). Similarly, Fe<sup>3+</sup> may also affect formation of the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> component. The Al/Fe<sup>3+</sup> ratio in Al-bearing bridgmanite under lower mantle conditions is generally larger than 1.0 in both peridotitic and basaltic lithologies (e.g., Mohn & Trønnes, 2016; Nakajima et al., 2012; Prescher et al., 2014), the FeAlO<sub>3</sub> component may thus prohibit oxygen vacancy substitution, although some regions such as harzburgite layers that was brought into the lower mantle by subduction have Al/Fe<sup>3+</sup> ratios smaller than 1.0 (Liu et al., 2018). Studies of iron spin state indicate almost no Fe<sup>3+</sup> (<1%) in the B site because the spin transition for the B site Fe<sup>3+</sup> is observed in Al-free bridgmanite at ~40–60 GPa, but not found in Al-bearing samples (e.g., Liu et al., 2018; Mohn & Trønnes, 2016). Nevertheless, oxygen vacancy substitution is significantly suppressed by pressure (Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017); therefore, it is still unclear how much of the oxygen vacancy component is formed in Al-bearing bridgmanite, especially near the topmost lower mantle. Further studies at ~24–40 GPa in the MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system are required.

### Acknowledgments

We appreciate the help of H. Fischer for high-pressure cell assembly machining, D. Krauß for assistance with EPMA analysis, R. Njul for sample preparation, and R. Huang for discussion. Comments from R. G. Trønnes and an anonymous reviewer improved the manuscript. This work is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (KA3434/3-1, KA3434/7-1, KA3434/8-1, KA3434/9-1, KA3434/12-1), the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Proposal 787 527), and the Bayerisches Geoinstitut visiting scientist program. The data sets for this research are given in Zenodo (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3677121).

### References

- Andraut, D., & Bolfan-Casanova, N. (2001). High-pressure phase transformations in the MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MgSiO<sub>3</sub> systems. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 28, 211–217.
- Brodholt, J. P. (2000). Pressure-induced changes in the compression mechanism of aluminous perovskite in the Earth's mantle. *Nature*, 407, 620–622.
- Catalli, K., Shim, S. H., Prakapenka, V. B., Zhao, J., Sturhahn, W., Chow, P., et al. (2010). Spin state of ferric iron in MgSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite and its effect on elastic properties. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 289, 68–75.
- Frost, D. (2008). The upper mantle and transition zone. *Elements*, 4, 171–176.
- Frost, D., & Langenhorst, F. (2002). The effect of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on Fe-Mg partitioning between magnesio-wüstite and silicate perovskite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 199, 227–241.
- Frost, D., Liebske, C., Langenhorst, F., McCammon, C. A., Trønnes, R. G., & Rubie, D. C. (2004). Experimental evidence for the existence of iron-rich metal in the Earth's lower mantle. *Nature*, 428, 409–412.
- Frost, D., & McCammon, C. A. (2008). The redox state of Earth's mantle. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 36, 389–420.
- Greenberg, E., Xu, W. M., Nikolaevsky, M., Bykova, E., Garbarino, G., Glazyrin, K., et al. (2017). High-pressure magnetic, electronic, and structural properties of MFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (M = Mg, Zn, Fe) ferric spinels. *Physical Review B*, 95(19), 195150. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.195150>
- Grocholski, B., Shim, S. H., Sturhahn, W., Zhao, J., Xiao, Y., & Chow, P. C. (2009). Spin and valence states of iron in (Mg<sub>0.8</sub>Fe<sub>0.2</sub>)SiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, L24303. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL041262>
- Hummer, D. R., & Fei, Y. (2012). Synthesis and crystal chemistry of Fe<sup>3+</sup>-bearing (Mg,Fe<sup>3+</sup>)(Si,Fe<sup>3+</sup>)O<sub>3</sub> perovskite. *American Mineralogist*, 97, 1915–1921.
- Irifune, T., Shimmei, T., McCammon, C. A., Miyajima, N., Rubie, D. C., & Frost, D. J. (2010). Iron partitioning and density changes of pyrolite in Earth's lower mantle. *Science*, 327(5962), 193–195. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1181443>
- Ishii, T., Shi, L., Huang, R., Tsujino, N., Druzhbin, D., Myhill, R., et al. (2016). Generation of pressures over 40 GPa using Kawai-type multi-anvil press with tungsten carbide anvils. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 87, 024501. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4941716>
- Ismailova, L., Bykova, E., Bykov, M., Cerantola, V., McCammon, C., Boffa-Ballaran, T., et al. (2016). Stability of Fe, Al-bearing bridgmanite in the lower mantle and synthesis of pure Fe-bridgmanite. *Science Advances*, 2, e1600427. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600427>
- Jackson, J. M., Sturhahn, W., Shen, G., Zhao, J., Hu, M. Y., Errandonea, D., et al. (2005). A synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy study of (Mg,Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite up to 120 GPa. *American Mineralogist*, 90, 199–205.
- Kojitani, H., Katsura, T., & Akaogi, M. (2007). Aluminum substitution mechanisms in perovskite-type MgSiO<sub>3</sub>: An investigation by Rietveld analysis. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 34, 257–267.
- Kröger, F. A., & Vink, H. J. (1956). Relations between the concentrations of imperfections in crystalline solids. *Solid State Physics*, 3, 307–435.
- Lauterbach, S., McCammon, C. A., van Aken, P., Langenhorst, F., & Seifert, F. (2000). Mössbauer and ELNES spectroscopy of (Mg,Fe)(Si,Al)O<sub>3</sub> perovskite: a highly oxidised component of the lower mantle. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 138, 17–26.
- Liu, J., Dorfham, S. M., Zhu, F., Li, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, D., et al. (2018). Valence and spin states of iron are invisible in Earth's lower mantle. *Nature Communications*, 9, 1284.
- Liu, Z., Akaogi, M., & Katsura, T. (2019). Increase of the oxygen vacancy component in bridgmanite with temperature. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 505, 141–151.
- Liu, Z., Boffa-Ballaran, T., Huang, R., Frost, D., & Katsura, T. (2019). Strong correlation of oxygen vacancies in bridgmanite with Mg/Si ratio. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 523, 115697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.06.037>
- Liu, Z., Ishii, T., & Katsura, T. (2017). Rapid decrease of MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> component in bridgmanite with pressure. *Geochemical Perspectives Letters*, 5, 12–18.



- Liu, Z., Nishi, M., Ishii, T., Fei, H., Miyajima, N., Boffa-Ballaran, T., et al. (2017). Phase relations in the system-MgSiO<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> up to 2300 K at lower mantle pressures. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *122*, 7775–7788. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014579>
- McCammon, C. A. (1997). Perovskite as a possible sink for ferric iron in the lower mantle. *Nature*, *387*, 694–696.
- McCammon, C. A., Lauterbach, S., Seifert, F., Langenhorst, F., & van Aken, P. A. (2004). Iron oxidation state in lower mantle mineral assemblages I. Empirical relations derived from high-pressure experiments. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *222*, 435–449.
- Mohn, C. E., & Trønnes, R. G. (2016). Iron spin state and site distribution in FeAlO<sub>3</sub>-bearing bridgmanite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *440*, 178–186.
- Nakajima, Y., Frost, D. J., & Rubie, D. C. (2012). Ferrous iron partitioning between magnesium silicate perovskite and ferroperricite and the composition of perovskite in the Earth's lower mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *117*, B08201. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JB009151>
- Navrotsky, A. (1999). A lesson from ceramics. *Science*, *284*, 1788–1789.
- Prescher, C., Langenhorst, F., Dubrovinsky, L. S., Prakapenka, V. B., & Miyajima, N. (2014). The effect of Fe spincrossovers on its partitioning behavior and oxidation state in a pyrolytic Earth's lower mantle system. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *399*, 86–91.
- Prescher, C., McCammon, C., & Dubrovinsky, L. (2012). MossA: A program for analyzing energy-domain Mössbauer spectra from conventional and synchrotron sources. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *45*, 329–331.
- Richmond, N. C., & Brodholt, J. P. (1998). Calculated role of aluminium in the incorporation of ferric iron into magnesium silicate perovskite. *American Mineralogist*, *83*, 947–951.
- Ringwood, A. E. (1991). Phase transformations and their bearing on the constitution and dynamics of the mantle. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *55*, 2083–2110.
- Sinmyo, R., Nakajima, Y., McCammon, C. A., Miyajima, N., Petitgirard, S., Myhill, R., et al. (2019). Effect of Fe<sup>3+</sup> on phase relations in the lower mantle: Implications for redox melting in stagnant slabs. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *124*, 12,484–12,497. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JB017704>
- Tschauner, O., Ma, C., Beckett, J. R., Prescher, C., Prakapenka, V. B., & Rossman, G. R. (2014). Discovery of bridgmanite, the most abundant mineral in Earth, in a shocked meteorite. *Science*, *346*(6213), 1100–1102. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259369>
- Walter, M. J., Kubo, A., Yoshino, T., Brodholt, J., Koga, K. T., & Ohishi, Y. (2004). Phase relations and equation-of-state of aluminous Mg-silicate perovskite and implications for Earth's lower mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *222*, 501–516.
- Zhang, F., & Oganov, A. R. (2006). Valence state and spin transitions of iron in Earth's mantle silicates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *249*, 436–443.