1	Investigating the Processes of Contaminant Removal in Fe <sup>0</sup> /H <sub>2</sub> O Systems					
2	Noubactep Chicgoua					
3	Angewandte Geologie, Universität Göttingen, Goldschmidtstraße 3, D - 37077 Göttingen, Germany.					
4	Kultur und Nachhaltige Entwicklung CDD e.V., Postfach 1502, D - 37005 Göttingen, Germany.					
5	e-mail: <u>cnoubac@gwdg.de;</u> Tel. +49 551 39 3191, FAX: +49 551 399379					
6						
7	Journal Name: The Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering					
8	Specific Area: Environmental Engineering					
9	Paper number: KJ2011-030					
10	Submission date: 2011-01-12 (Accepted: 2011-12-15)					
11	Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering					
12	2010 Impact Factor: 0.748					
13						
14	Abstract					
15	The instability of the premise of direct quantitative contaminant reduction by elemental iron					

(Fe<sup>0</sup>) materials in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems is pointed out. Recalled basic knowledge on aqueous iron 16 corrosion shows that Fe<sup>0</sup> surface is not available for decontamination in nature. A comparison 17 of the reactivity of  $Fe^0$  and  $Zn^0$  shows that the effectiveness of  $Fe^0$  materials for 18 environmental remediation is due to the formation of a non-adhesive, porous oxide scale on 19 Fe<sup>0</sup>. Contaminants are enmeshed within the scale and possibly reduced by primary Fe<sup>II</sup> and 20 H/H<sub>2</sub>. An evaluation of current experimental conditions shows that well-mixed batch systems 21 have disturbed the process of scale formation. Therefore, the majority of published works has 22 23 operatively created conditions for contaminant reduction that are not likely to occur in nature. Since working under such unrealistic conditions has mediated the above mentioned premise, 24 interactions in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems yielding contaminant removal should be revisited. 25

26 Keywords: Adsorption, Decontamination, Reduction, Remediation, Zerovalent iron.

#### 27 **1** Introduction

28 The strong oxidation state dependent toxicity of several pollutants has prompted the 29 development of remediation strategies that stimulate redox reactions in wastewaters, 30 contaminated soils, sediments, and groundwater [1-13]. Thereby, hazardous species are 31 transformed to less toxic or less mobile species through a redox reaction. For example, chromium(VI) reduction to chromium(III) substantially decreases this metal's solubility, 32 mobility, and toxicity [12,14]. The same principle governs the expected reduction of 33 34 uranium(VI) to uranium(IV) [15-17]. Tested geochemical approaches included injection of 35 reactive solutions [18,19], permeable reactive walls of elemental iron materials [4,20,21] or 36 other reactive minerals such as  $FeS_2$  and  $FeCO_3$  [22-24], and injection of  $H_2S$  [25]. Biogeochemical strategies rely on supplying organic carbon (OC) to stimulate direct 37 38 microbial transformation of pollutants and indirectly microbially mediated reactions [26,27]:

The use of Fe<sup>0</sup>-based alloys (zerovalent iron, Fe<sup>0</sup> materials or Fe<sup>0</sup>) is based on the premise, 39 that quantitative contaminant removal in  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  systems is mostly due to contaminant 40 41 reduction through electrons from the metal body (direct reduction) [28,29]. Because of the electrochemical nature of aqueous iron corrosion [30], this is only possible at the Fe<sup>0</sup> surface 42 or at the surface of an overlaying electrically conductive oxide-film (e.g. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). However, 43 44 given that under typical ranges of sub-surface pH ( $6 \le pH \le 9$ ) there are several possible contaminant removal mechanisms (adsorption, co-precipitation, precipitation) in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O 45 46 systems, it is doubtful whether direct reduction may significantly contribute to contaminant 47 removal as it is currently accepted – and repeated in the introduction of many articles/books. 48 For the sake of clarity, it should be stated that contaminant reduction is not a stand-alone 49 removal mechanism as reduction products must be removed from the aqueous phase.

50 The objective of the present communication is to recall the instability of the concept of direct 51 reductive contaminant removal through  $Fe^0$  in  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems which is currently the main 52 prop that holds up the iron reactive barrier technology. The study is based on a literature review on the process of iron corrosion and the reductive properties of  $\text{Fe}^{II}$  species and  $\text{H/H}_2$ . It is shown that quantitative contaminant removal can only occur within the film of corrosion products (oxide-film) at the vicinity of the  $\text{Fe}^0$  surface. The process of iron corrosion will first be presented, followed by a discussion of the contaminant interactions within the oxide-film and two strong arguments against direct reduction through  $\text{Fe}^0$  materials.

58

2

## The Process of Aqueous Iron Corrosion: Oxide-film Generation

59 Under aqueous conditions elemental iron ( $Fe^0$ ) may dissolve (solvation) to an oxidized  $Fe^{II}$ 60 specie (active dissolution or corrosion) according to Eq. (1), or form a second phase film – 61 usually an insoluble 3D surface oxide-film (passivation) – according to Eq. (2):

Active dissolution: 
$$\operatorname{Fe}^{0} + 6 \operatorname{H}_{2}O \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{H}_{2}O)_{6}^{2+} + 2 \operatorname{e}^{-}$$
(1)

**Passivation:**  $\operatorname{Fe}^{0} + 2 \operatorname{H}_{2}O \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Fe}(OH)_{2} + 2 \operatorname{H}^{+} + 2 \operatorname{e}^{-}$ (2)

Active dissolution and passivation are competing reactions. An oxide-film will form 62 63 whenever the metal solubility is low (circumneutral pH values - Fig. 1). The further active metal dissolution (corrosion) depends on the protectiveness of the generated oxide-film. 64 Whether a film is protective or not primarily depends on the relative unit-cells (packing 65 66 distances or lattice parameters a, b, c, see Tab. 1) of the metal and its oxides [33,34]. In the case of iron, the unit-cells of the elemental metal and its oxides are not particularly close 67 (Tab. 1): thus there is no tendency for an iron oxide-layer (oxide-film) to adhere to metallic 68 iron (Fe<sup>0</sup>). Therefore, an oxide-film instantaneously forms but is constantly flaked off and 69 70 exposes fresh iron surface to the environment [33,34]. Iron corrosion will normally continue 71 until the material is depleted.

The formation of an oxide-film on a Fe<sup>0</sup>-material upon aqueous corrosion is characteristic for pH > 4.5 [35,36] and further depends on several parameters: reactivity of the underlying Fe<sup>0</sup>material, temperature, composition, and water flow velocity. These parameters determine the structure, the thickness and the porosity of the generated film. Such films grow by counter migration of Fe<sup>II</sup> species from the Fe<sup>0</sup> surface (outward migration) and H<sup>+</sup>, O<sub>2</sub>, and other

solutes from the flowing water (inward migration). Fe<sup>III</sup> species are generated, they migrate, 77 78 precipitate and are possibly reduced within the oxide-film. The driving forces for species 79 transport are mostly electromigration (ionic species) and concentration gradients (all species). 80 The reaction scheme for film formation can be divided into four steps [37]: (i) active 81 dissolution (Eq. 1), (ii) transition range, (iii) pre-passive range, and (iv) oxide-film formation. 82 In the transition and pre-passive range the metal becomes progressively covered by  $Fe(OH)_2$ 83 and/or Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub> adsorbates. These adsorbates increasingly retard the active dissolution. The oxide-film is subsequently formed when the  $Fe^0$  is completely covered with  $Fe(OH)_2$  / 84 85 Fe(OH)3 and deprotonization leads to the formation of a layer of iron oxide and 86 oxyhydroxides (iron oxides: FeOOH, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>...). It is very important to note that, once 87 formed, the oxide-film should not be considered as a rigid layer, but instead as a system in 88 dynamic equilibrium between film dissolution and film growth [37]. In other words, the 89 oxide-film can adjust its composition and thickness to changing environmental factors (time, 90 groundwater composition, temperature, microbial activity). In particular, the presence of some components (e.g.  $PO_4^{3-}$ ) in groundwater may favour the production of insoluble corrosion 91 92 products, possibly leading to an impervious and tenacious oxide-film. The corrosion reaction 93 becomes self-limiting, as the corrosive medium can no longer diffuse through the oxide-film. 94 Other groundwater components (e.g. Cl<sup>-</sup>), will disturb the formation of continuous oxide-films or increase their porosity [38]. In this case  $Fe^0$  active dissolution will continue until the 95 96 material is depleted.

As already discussed,  $Fe^0$  materials own their suitability for groundwater remediation to the fact that the oxide-film continually flakes off and exposes the  $Fe^0$  surface to the corrosive aqueous environment. However, new films are suddenly generated such that the bare  $Fe^0$ surface is not accessible for contaminants as a rule. In fact, all contaminants (organic and inorganic) interact more or less strongly with the overlaying iron oxides [39]. The next section will discuss some of these interactions.

# 103 **3** Contaminant/Oxide-Film Interactions in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O Systems

As shown clearly, in a  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  system an interface  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  does not exist as a rule [17,35, 104 40-42], but rather two interfaces: (i) Fe<sup>0</sup>/oxide-film, and (ii) oxide-film/H<sub>2</sub>O. Even though the 105 metal surface may be temporally accessible at locations where the oxide-film is discontinued 106 [40], this can not be a rule. With these rare exceptions, to reach the  $Fe^0$  surface, any 107 108 contaminant must found its way across the oxide-film. Therefore, investigations regarding contaminant removal in  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  systems should always be conducted under conditions 109 110 favouring the generation and the transformation of an oxide-film (ideally stagnant conditions, 111 see below).

In a Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O system, a contaminant may be subject to four types of reactions: adsorption 112 113 (physical and chemical), co-precipitation, oxidation and reduction. Oxidation reactions are not 114 further considered in this communication. However, it is considered that oxidation products 115 must be removed from the aqueous phase by adsorption, co-precipitation, precipitation or 116 volatilization. Three different reduction pathways were specified [21,43,44]: (i) direct electron 117 transfer from iron metal (direct reduction), (ii) catalyzed reduction by molecular H<sub>2</sub> (or atomic H) from H<sub>2</sub>O reduction; the reaction is catalyzed by the  $Fe^{0}$  surface or the Fe oxyhydroxides 118 surface, (iii) catalyzed reduction by structural or dissolved Fe<sup>II</sup> at the Fe oxyhydroxides 119 surface (Fe<sup>II</sup> derived both from iron corrosion and from oxide dissolution). Therefore, 120 121 potential roles of the oxide-film include [41,45]: (a) serving as an electron and ion transport 122 barrier, hence reduction of solutes may occur primarily at pits or similar defects; (b) behaving 123 as a conductor or semiconductor, which allows charge to pass across the interface with some resistance; (c) functioning as a catalyst, where adsorbed Fe<sup>II</sup> (and H/H<sub>2</sub>) provides strongly 124 reducing surface sites, and (d) serving as Fe<sup>II</sup> source whereas Fe<sup>III</sup> oxides are reduced 125 126 chemically (e.g. by  $H_2$ ) or biologically.

127 The redox reactivity of a  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  system is believed to primarily depend on the chemical 128 thermodynamics of the two redox-systems of iron [46]:  $Fe^{II}/Fe^{0}$  ( $E^{0} = -0.44$  V) and  $Fe^{III}/Fe^{II}$ 

 $(E^0 = 0.77 \text{ V})$ . Therefore, the aim of using  $Fe^0$  in groundwater remediation under anoxic 129 conditions has been to exploit the negative potential of the couple Fe<sup>II</sup>/Fe<sup>0</sup> to degrade or 130 131 immobilize redox-labile contaminants (direct reduction). However, dissolved ferrous iron from the Fe<sup>III</sup>/Fe<sup>II</sup> redox couple can act as reductant for soil components (e.g. MnO<sub>2</sub>) and 132 133 contaminants (indirect reduction 1). Furthermore, it has been shown that adsorbed or structural  $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}$  (structural  $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}/\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}$ : -0.34  $\leq \text{E}^{0}(\text{V}) \leq 0.65$ ) can be more powerful in reducing 134 contaminants [47] (indirect reduction 2) than the  $Fe^0$  surface ( $E^0 = -0.44$  V). On the other side 135 recent results from Strathmann and co-workers [48] demonstrated that, when complexed with 136 organic substances, aqueous  $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}$  (dissolved organic  $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}/\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}$ :  $0.520 \ge \text{E}^{0}(\text{V}) \ge -0.509$ ) is 137 significantly more powerful than aqueous  $Fe^{II}$  ( $E^0 = 0.77$  V) (indirect reduction 3). Therefore, 138 abiotic contaminant reduction in a  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  system does not necessarily take place by 139 reduction through electron from  $Fe^0$  (direct reduction). It is to keep in mind that this 140 141 discussion considered molecular (H<sub>2</sub>) and atomic (H) hydrogen as potential reducing agents 142 without considering further details on the reaction mechanism.

Contaminant migration through an oxide-film to the  $Fe^0$  surface may be a process which is 143 144 limited by size exclusion effects [49]. Since contaminants are mostly larger than H<sub>2</sub>O in their 145 molecular sizes, in extreme cases, inner layers of the film may only be accessible to protons  $(H^{+})$  and water molecules  $(H_2O)$ . Consequently, with decreasing porosity, iron is corroded 146 147 merely by water ( $H_2O$  or  $H^+$ ). Even under external (groundwater) oxic conditions oxygen may deplete completely within the oxide-film (e.g. through oxidation of Fe<sup>II</sup>, as discussed above) 148 [50]. In this case, contaminant reduction can only be the result of structural Fe<sup>II</sup> or hydrogen 149 150 (H or H<sub>2</sub>) activity in the oxide-film or at the film surface. The reaction products remain 151 adsorbed or are dissolved in groundwater depending on their relative affinity to iron oxides 152 and groundwater chemistry.

Besides the molecular size of contaminants, their interactions with iron corrosion products ( $Fe^{II}$ -species,  $H/H_2$ ) and the body of the transforming oxide-film are important. Since the

oxide-film is a good adsorbent for both contaminants and Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions (resulting in more reactive 155 structural Fe<sup>II</sup>), contaminant reduction will certainly occur at the meeting point within the 156 oxide-film, more or less far from the  $Fe^0$  surface. In fact,  $Fe^{2+}$  ions from  $Fe^0$  oxidation migrate 157 in the direction of increasing pore sizes and contaminants in the opposite direction [51]. In all 158 the cases quantitative contaminant reduction at the surface of  $Fe^0$  is not likely to occur. 159 160 Furthermore, irrespective of any interactions between contaminant and film materials (non-161 specific mechanism) [52], a contaminant can be entrapped in the matrix of precipitating and 162 growing oxide-films (co-precipitation). A co-precipitated contaminant can not further migrate to the Fe<sup>0</sup> surface. Such species will remain adsorbed and can be reduced by diffuse H/H<sub>2</sub> or 163 Fe<sup>II</sup>. Even physically adsorbed contaminants may be reduced within the oxide-film because of 164 165 diffusion hindrances and the thermodynamic favorable catalytic reduction through H/H<sub>2</sub> or Fe<sup>II</sup>. This affirmation is valid irrespective from the conductive properties of the oxide-film. 166 167 Remember that direct reduction within or at the surface of the film is only possible if the 168 oxide-film is electrically conductive. But even electrically conductive magnetite-layers, may passivate  $Fe^{0}$  if they inhibit the migration of  $Fe^{2+}$  away from the surface. 169

This discussion reiterates that the formation of oxide-films at Fe<sup>0</sup> surfaces is an inherent 170 171 process of aqueous iron corrosion under environmental conditions. Depending on the film 172 thickness, its porosity and its interactions with individual contaminants, an oxide-film can act 173 as a diffusion barrier, a reactive barrier and/or as a molecular sieve hindering or lowering contaminant access to Fe<sup>0</sup> surfaces [50,53]. Therefore, mechanistic investigations regarding 174 contaminant removal by Fe<sup>0</sup> materials should be accomplished under conditions favoring 175 176 surface oxide-film generation and transformation [51]. On the contrary, the large majority of reported Fe<sup>0</sup> mechanistic results from batch experiments were achieved under well-mixed 177 conditions. Under these experimental conditions however, (i)  $Fe^0$  dissolution is accelerated, 178 yielding to more corrosion products which may adsorb contaminants or immobilize them 179 during their transformation (e.g., precipitation, recrystallization). Thus, increased generation 180

181 of corrosion products complicates mechanistic investigations; (ii) corrosion products 182 precipitation (oxide-film formation) in the vicinity of  $Fe^0$  surface is avoided or delayed since 183  $Fe^{II}$  transport away from the surface is accelerated; and (iii) chemical dissolution and 184 mechanical abrasion of oxide-films is possible.

#### 185

# 4 Low Reactivity of Iron Metal under Environmental Conditions

186 Iron-based alloys for environmental remediation have been originally introduced for the 187 reduction of halogenated organic compounds [20,21,54]. Initial encouraging results prompted 188 researchers to extend their applicability to a large array of organic and inorganic compounds 189 [12,15,55-57]: Thereby, key features characterizing the reactivity of Fe<sup>0</sup> materials under 190 environmental conditions have been overseen. Three examples for illustration:

• Beside catalytic hydrogenation many reductive agents have been successfully used in 192 the synthetic organic chemistry. The most classic and practical reductants are zinc (Zn<sup>0</sup>), tin 193 (Sn<sup>0</sup>), and iron (Fe<sup>0</sup>) [58]. However, the reactions with Fe<sup>0</sup> are performed in organic solvents 194 or in aqueous acidic solutions (**statement 1**). This statement suggests that using Fe<sup>0</sup> materials 195 for quantitative contaminant reduction under environmental pH conditions ( $6 \le pH \le 9$ ) is 196 questionable.

• While using elemental metals in the synthetic organic chemistry, it is well-known that the reactivity of metallic zinc ( $E^0 = -0.763$  V) is superior to that of iron ( $E^0 = -0.440$  V) [46]. Therefore, to perform the reduction of some compounds (e.g., nitro compounds to amines) with Fe<sup>0</sup>, the reaction should be carried out at higher temperature [58] (**statement 2**). Statement 2 suggests that using Fe<sup>0</sup> materials for quantitative contaminant reduction under ambient temperatures (T < 30 °C) is questionable.

• Because of the poor solubility of most organic compounds in water at ambient 204 temperature, the remarkable properties of water near its critical point (Tc = 374 °C, Pc = 221205 bar) have prompted researchers to use it in organic synthesis [58,59]. There are increasing 206 numbers of papers which suggest that near-critical water is an excellent solvent for organic reactions because organic reactions in it offer many advantages over those in traditional
organic solvents or acidic aqueous solutions [59] (statement 3). Statement 3 corroborates the
conclusions of statement 1 and 2.

210 Altogether statement 1 through 3 clearly show that direct quantitative organic contaminant reduction through Fe<sup>0</sup> materials is not likely to occur. Additionally, working under critical 211 212 conditions [58] or in acidic aqueous conditions has not univocally elucidated the real reaction mechanism (hydrogenation by  $H_2$  or direct reduction by  $Fe^0$ ). Therefore, before proposing 213 214 direct "reductive transformation" as the main pathway of organic compounds removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems (under atmospheric conditions), the pioneers of the iron barrier technology 215 216 should have brought clarity on the key issues of statements 1 and 2. Instead of that, the voluminous literature on Fe<sup>0</sup> in the synthetic organic chemistry has been ignored. Even very 217 well-documented results have been contradicted, one of the most important been without 218 doubt the reported quantitative reduction of aromatic azo compounds by Fe<sup>0</sup> materials 219 220 [44,60,61]. This reaction (Béchamp reduction) has been investigated more than 150 years ago by Antoine J. Béchamp [62] and is known to take place in a Fe<sup>0</sup>/HCl system [63]. 221

Beside the low  $Fe^0$  reactivity under environmental conditions, inappropriate experimental conditions are other error sources in investigations regarding the processes of contaminant removal in  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems. The next section will present parameters influencing  $Fe^0$ reactivity and show how too large  $Fe^0$ /contaminant molar ratios in combination with mixing operations may have created unrealistic reducing conditions.

227 **5** 

# 5 Inappropriate Experimental Conditions

Factors affecting Fe<sup>0</sup> reactivity can be divided into three subgroups: (i) material-dependant factors (mostly not directly accessible to researchers), (ii) environment-dependant factors (investigable at individual relevant sites), and (iii) operational experimental parameters (should be designated to mimic environment-dependant factors). Material-dependant factors include: manufacturing history of  $Fe^0$  materials, elemental composition of  $Fe^0$  materials (alloying elements: C, Cr, Mn, Ni, P, S, Si...),  $Fe^0$  particle size (nm,  $\mu$ m, mm),  $Fe^0$  surface area, and the oxidation state of the  $Fe^0$  surface [11,12]. Centuries of investigations on iron corrosion have not clarified the relative importance of the individual factors [3, 34,64,65].

Among environment-dependant factors, the following can be enumerated: water flow 237 velocity, character of the generated oxide-film on Fe<sup>0</sup> (composition, porosity, thickness), the 238 239 ambient temperature, the water composition (major ions, co-contaminants), the availability of 240 molecular oxygen (oxic vs. anoxic conditions), the nature of the contaminant. Environment-241 dependant factors are site specific and may significantly vary periodically (e.g. daily, 242 seasonally). Their profound knowledge is indispensable for the rational choice of operational 243 experimental parameters. For example the hydrodynamic of the system should reflect the 244 groundwater flow velocity. This condition is partly considered in designing column experiments [4], but is almost totally ignored in the design of batch experiments as discussed 245 246 above [17,51].

247 Experimental operational parameters are fully determined by individual investigators. Ideally, 248 their choice should always be rationalized by real situations or by the objective of individual experiments. These factors include [3,66-68]: Fe<sup>0</sup> preparation (e.g., acetone or acid wash), 249 buffer application, the molar ratio of Fe<sup>0</sup> to contaminant (Fe<sup>0</sup> mass loading and initial 250 251 contaminant concentration), volume of the bottles used in the experiment, volume of model 252 solution added, mixing operations (bubbling, shaking, stirring), geometry of the reaction 253 vessel, experimental duration or reaction time. Due to the lack of a unified procedure for conducting contaminant removal tests in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems, different mechanisms for the same 254 255 contaminant can be found in the literature [69,70].

From isolated sets of experiments, a huge number of variables (partly enumerated above) have been shown to affect aqueous  $Fe^0$  reactivity. This suggests that it is practically

impossible to correlate data obtained with different natural waters (or synthetic solutions) on 258 different Fe<sup>0</sup> samples. Fortunately, however, while each of these factors is undoubtedly 259 260 important under some particular set of conditions, there are wide ranges of conditions within which comparatively few variables have any large effect on  $Fe^0$  reactivity [36]. In particular, 261 262 the main factors in the aqueous iron corrosion in natural waters are: (i) the protectiveness of films of corrosion products, and (ii) the rate of oxidant diffusion (including  $H_2O$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $H^+$ ). 263 Accordingly, any investigation on processes in  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  systems should have been conducted 264 265 under conditions favouring the formation and the transformation of oxide-films (e.g. in the 266 mass transfer controlled regime). Ideally, this is obtained under stagnant conditions [51,71].

The discussion above reveals that the failure to consider  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  systems as a dynamic 267 system consisted of the Fe<sup>0</sup> material underlying a layer of corrosion products (oxide-film) is 268 one of the major reasons why, despite two decades of intensive laboratory investigations, 269 several aspects of contaminant removal from aqueous solutions in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems are not 270 271 really understood. Another important point is the Fe<sup>0</sup>/contaminant molar ratios. In the synthetic organic chemistry, used Fe<sup>0</sup>/educt molar ratios are rarely larger than 10 [29]: For 272 273 example, Wang et al. [58] found that a ratio of nanosized iron metal to p-nitrotoluene of 3:1 274 was sufficient for satisfactory results (stirring conditions, 210 °C and 2 h). In the reactive barrier literature Fe<sup>0</sup>/contaminant ratios up to 20,000 [29 and ref. therein] are used without 275 276 iustification and the solutions are mixed more or less strongly for hours or days.

277 While using inappropriate mixing operations and too large  $Fe^{0}$ /contaminant ratios, reducing 278 conditions may have been generated and investigated by means of sophisticated experimental 279 designs. These conditions are, however, irrelevant for natural systems. Moreover, 280 geochemical models have been developed for contaminant removal in  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  systems while 281 assuming reductive transformation at the  $Fe^{0}$  surface [72]

282 6 Discussions

It has been considered for almost two decades that the use of Fe<sup>0</sup> materials for groundwater 283 remediation is based on scientific principles [73-76]. Thereafter, the suitability of Fe<sup>0</sup> 284 285 materials for contaminant reductive removal is based on the low redox potential of the couple Fe<sup>II</sup>/Fe<sup>0</sup> making Fe<sup>0</sup> a powerful reducing agent for many soluble contaminants [21,77]. But 286 287 these considerations are proved instable because the pioneer works on contaminant reductive removal by Fe<sup>0</sup> materials did not test and prove their theories by any scientific criteria [75, 288 289 76]. In particular the thermodynamics of hydroxide precipitation or Fe solubility were not 290 properly considered (Fig. 1) [73]. Fortunately, contaminants are successfully removed in  $Fe^{0}/H_{2}O$  systems by other processes [73,74]. The rational investigation of these processes and 291 292 their optimisation is a challenge for the scientific community [78,79].

The instable premise of direct contaminant reduction by  $Fe^0$  materials upon which the iron 293 reactive barrier technology is based, has dragged a part of the environmental science 294 295 community deeper into confusion during the past decade. For example, in their efforts to rationalize the removal of uranium (VI) from the aqueous phase in the presence of Fe<sup>0</sup>, Gu et 296 297 al. [80] worked with clearly over-saturated U(VI) solutions (up to 10,000 mg/L or 0.042 M at 298 near-neutral pH values) and reported that "results from the batch adsorption and desorption and from spectroscopic studies indicate that reductive precipitation of U on Fe<sup>0</sup> is the major 299 300 reaction pathway". Under their experimental conditions however, U(VI) precipitation is 301 thermodynamically and kinetically more favourable than the discussed mechanisms 302 (adsorption and reduction). Clearly, all has been done to support the questionable premise. 303 Here are three further examples: (i) very well-established scientific results have been contradicted (e.g. Béchamp reaction) [29]; (ii) contaminant co-precipitation with Fe<sup>II</sup> and Fe<sup>III</sup> 304 305 species which is the principle of flotation [81-86] has been ignored in order 'to force' direct 306 reduction of metallic species to occur; (iii) the well-known "physical barrier" function of 307 oxide-films has been transformed to that of "mediator of electron transfer" (electron-shuttle) from underlying Fe<sup>0</sup> to overlying contaminants (semiconductor and/or coordinating surface) 308

in order to rationalize the hypothetic electron transfer from Fe<sup>0</sup> surface to dissolved oxidants 309 310 [41].

311 The present work and related works [29,51,71,87] have presented a more simple and realistic 312 model, acknowledging that quantitative contaminant removal primary occurs via adsorption 313 or co-precipitation within the oxide-film. Depending on the availability of reductants and/or 314 micro-organisms the contaminant may be further reduced, irrespective from the conductive 315 properties of the oxide-film. This model is supported by the known adsorptive properties of 316 iron oxides [39,88,89], and the fact that biological agents (viruses) [90], arsenic [91], and 317 electrochemically non-reducible contaminants like Zn [92] are successfully removed from aqueous solution by  $Fe^0$  materials (e.g. in  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems). 318

319 7 **Concluding Remarks** 

The further development of Fe<sup>0</sup> bed filtration in general and the reactive wall technology in 320 321 particular requires the use of appropriate experimental methodologies (e.g. no vigorously 322 batch experiments). Available scientific results from two research areas must be properly exploited: (i) The results of investigations regarding passive film formation on Fe<sup>0</sup> in neutral 323 324 and alkaline aqueous solutions. These investigations aimed at developing a detailed 325 understanding of the film growth mechanisms, the film structure and composition, and the kinetics and mechanisms of reduction of iron oxides that form on the Fe<sup>0</sup> surface [93 and ref. 326 327 therein], and (ii) The results of investigations regarding the polymerization of iron via 328 hydrolysis yielding non-crystalline particles of key importance in the adsorption and transport 329 of organic or inorganic pollutants [38,81].

330 Finally, the most important feature from the present communication is the solution of the existing vagueness for the design of  $Fe^0$  beds [94]. In fact, determining an amount of  $Fe^0$  and 331 332 a bed thickness is no more dependant on a reductive reaction [2,95] but is solely a characteristic of water flow, water chemistry and Fe<sup>0</sup> intrinsic reactivity [96-98]. In other 333 words, the profound understanding of the mechanism of contaminant removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O 334

systems has simplified bed design [96] and  $Fe^0$  bed is now proposed (or recognized as) a efficient material for decentralized safe drinking water production [97-100]. Intensive research as several fronts is needed to transform this vision to reality.

### 338 Acknowledgements

- 339 Thoughtful comments provided by Charles P. Nanseu (University of Yaoundé 1, Cameroon)
- and Serge Fotso (Oregon State University, USA) on the draft manuscript are gratefullyacknowledged.

#### 342 **References**

- 343 [1] T. Bigg, S.J. Judd, Zero-valent iron for water treatment, *Environ. Technol.* 2000, 21, 661.
- 344 [2] D.-I. Song, Y.H. Kim, W.S. Shin, A simple mathematical analysis on the effect of sand in

345 Cr(VI) reduction using zero valent iron, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2005**, 22, 67.

- [3] J.E. Yang, J.S. Kim, Y.S. Ok, S.-J. Kim, K.-Y. Yoo, Capacity of Cr(VI) reduction in an
  aqueous solution using different sources of zerovalent irons, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2006,
  23, 935.
- [4] A.D. Henderson, A.H. Demond, Long-term performance of zero-valent iron permeable
  reactive barriers: A Critical Review, *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 2007, 24, 401.
- [5] J.-H. Choi, S.J. Choi, Y.-H. Kim, Hydrodechlorination of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol for a
  permeable reactive barrier using zero-valent iron and catalyzed iron, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2008, 25, 493.
- [6] A.B. Cundy, L. Hopkinson, R.L.D. Whitby, Use of iron-based technologies in
  contaminated land and groundwater remediation: A review, *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 2008, 400,
  42.
- 357 [7] J. Ryu, D.J. Suh, Y.-K. Park, Y.-W. Suh, Effect of reduction temperature on the
  358 preparation of zero-valent iron aerogels for trichloroethylene dechlorination, *Korean J.*359 *Chem. Eng.* 2008, 25, 1377.

- 360 [8] R. Thiruvenkatachari, S. Vigneswaran, R. Naidu, Permeable reactive barrier for
  361 groundwater remediation, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2008, 14, 145.
- 362 [9] B.H. Kim, C. Park, Y.-B. Kim, D.S. Jung, H.-C. Cho, S.H. Park, D.-G. Ra, D.-J. Lee, S.-
- 363 C. Jung, Characterization of zero valent iron prepared from by-product of pickling line 364 and its decomposition reaction activity, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2009**, 26, 1795.
- [10] M.-C. Shin, J.-S. Yang, G.-Y. Park, K. Baek, Influence of mixed-surfactant on reductive
  dechlorination of trichloroethylene by zero-valent iron, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2011, 28,
  1047.
- 368 [11] S. Comba, A. Di Molfetta, R. Sethi, A Comparison between field applications of nano-,
- micro-, and millimetric zero-valent iron for the remediation of contaminated aquifers, *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 2011, 215, 595.
- 371 [12] M. Gheju, Hexavalent chromium reduction with zero-valent iron (ZVI) in aquatic
  372 systems. *Water Air Soil Pollut*. 2011, doi: 10.1007/s11270-011-0812-y.
- 373 [13] T.K. Tokunaga, J. Wan, A. Lanzirotti, S.R. Sutton, M. Newville, W. Rao, Long-term
  374 stability of organic carbon-stimulated chromate reduction in contaminated soils and its
- 375 relation to manganese redox status. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, 41, 4326.
- 376 [14] D. Rai, L.E. Eary, J.M. Zachara, Environmental chemistry of chromium, *Sci. Total*377 *Environ.* 1989, 86, 15.
- [15] K.J. Cantrell, D.I. Kaplan, T.W. Wietsma, Zero-valent iron for the in situ remediation of
  selected metals in groundwater, *J. Hazard. Mat.* 1995, 42, 201.
- [16] C. Noubactep, G. Meinrath, P. Dietrich, B. Merkel, Mitigating uranium in groundwater:
  Prospects and limitations, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2003, 37, 4304.
- [17] C. Noubactep, G. Meinrath, B.J. Merkel, Investigating the mechanism of uranium
  removal by zerovalent iron materials, *Environ. Chem.* 2005, 2, 235.
- 384 [18] L.E. Eary, D. Rai, Chromate removal from aqueous wastes by reduction with ferrous ion,
- 385 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1988**, 22, 972.

- [19] L.E. Eary, D. Rai, Chromate reduction by sub-surface soils under acidic conditions, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **1991**, 55, 676.
- [20] R.W. Gillham, S.F. O'Hannesin Enhanced degradation of halogenated aliphatics by zerovalent iron. *Ground Water* 1994, 32, 958.
- [21] L.J. Matheson, P.G. Tratnyek, Reductive dehalogenation of chlorinated methanes by iron
   metal, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, 28, 2045.
- 392 [22] D.W. Blowes, C.J. Ptacek, J.L. Jambor, In-situ Remediation of Cr(VI)-contaminated
  393 groundwater using permeable reactive walls: Laboratory studies, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*394 **1997**, 31, 3348.
- 395 [23] I.J. Buerge, S.J. Hug, Kinetics and pH dependence of chromium(VI) reduction by
  396 iron(II), *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1997, 31, 1426.
- 397 [24] C.E. Butler, F.K. Hayes, Factors influencing rates and products in the transformation of
  398 trichloroethylene by iron sulfide and iron metal, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2001, 35, 3884.
- 399 [25] B. Hua, B. Deng, Influences of water vapor on Cr(VI) reduction by gaseous hydrogen
  400 sulfide, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2003, 37, 4771.
- 401 [26] D.R. Lovley, J.L. Fraga, J.D. Coates, E.L. Blunt-Harris, Humics as an electron donor for
  402 anaerobic respiration, *Environ. Microbiol.* 1999, 1, 89.
- 403 [27] D.R. Lovley, M.J. Baedecker, D.J. Lonergan, I.M. Cozzarelli, E.J.P. Phillips, D.I. Siegel.
- 404 Oxidation of aromatic contaminants coupled to microbial iron reduction, *Nature* 1989,
  405 339, 297.
- 406 [28] R.W. Gillham, Discussion of nano-scale iron for dehalogenation. by Nyer E.K. and D.B.
  407 Vance, *Ground Water Monit. Remed.* 2003, 23, 6.
- 408 [29] C. Noubactep, Processes of contaminant removal in "Fe<sup>0</sup>–H<sub>2</sub>O" systems revisited. The
  409 importance of co-precipitation. *Open Environ. J.* 2007, 1, 9–13.
- 410 [30] A. De La Rive, Note relative à l'action qu'exerce sur le zinc l'acide sulfurique étendu
- 411 d'eau, Ann. Chim. Phys. **1830**, 43, 425. Cited in ref. 35.

- [31] R. Balasubramaniam, K.A.V. Ramesh, P. Dillmann, Characterization of rust on ancient
  Indian iron, *Current Science* 2003, 85, 1546.
- 414 [32] A.E. Lewis, Review of metal sulphide precipitation. *Hydrometallurgy* **2010**, 104, 222.
- 415 [33] Campbell, J.A., Allgemeine Chemie, **1990** (VCH Weinheim).
- 416 [34] R.E. Dickerson, H.B. Gray, G.P. Haight Jr., Chemical Principles, 1979
  417 (Benjamin/Cummings Inc. London, Amsterdam).
- 418 [35] N. Cabrera, N.F. Mott, Theory of the oxidation of metals, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 1949, 12,
  419 163.
- 420 [36] E.R. Wilson, The mechanism of the corrosion of iron and steel in natural waters and the
  421 calculation of specific rates of corrosion. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1923**, 15, 127.
- 422 [37] P. Schmuki, From bacon to barriers: A review on the passivity of metals and alloys, *J.*423 *Solid State Electrochem.* 2002, 6, 145.
- 424 [38] G. Bohnsack, Chlorid und die Korrosion von Eisen und Stahl in natürlichen Wässer,
  425 **1989** (Vulkan, Essen).
- 426 [39] G.E. Brown Jr., V.E. Henrich, W.H. Casey, D.L. Clark, C. Eggleston, A. Felmy, D.W.
- 427 Goodman, M. Grätzel, G. Maciel, M.I. McCarthy, K.H. Nealson, D.A. Sverjensky, M.F.
- 428 Toney and J.M. Zachara, Metal oxide surfaces and their interactions with aqueous 429 solutions and microbial organisms, *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, 99, 77.
- 430 [40] S.C. Hendy, N.J. Laycock, M.P. Ryan, Atomistic modeling of cation transport in the
- passive film on iron and implications for models of growth kinetics, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*2005, B152, 271.
- 433 [41] M.M. Scherer, B.A. Balko, P.G. Tratnyek, The role of oxides in reduction reactions at
- 434 the metal-water interface. *In* Kinetics and mechanism of reactions at the mineral/water
- 435 interface (Eds D. Sparks, T. Grundl) American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, **1999**,
- 436 pp. 301–322.

- [42] M.F. Toney, A.J. Davenport, L.J. Oblonsky, M.P. Ryan, C.M. Vitus, Atomic structure of
  the passive oxide film formed on iron, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1997, 79, 4282.
- [43] M.S. Odziemkowski, R.P. Simpraga, Distribution of oxides on iron materials used for
  remediation of organic groundwater contaminants implications for hydrogen evolution
  reactions, *Can. J. Chem./Rev. Can. Chim.* 2004, 82, 1495.
- 442 [44] E.J. Weber, Iron-mediated reductive transformations: investigation of reaction
  443 mechanism, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1996, 30, 716.
- 444 [45] D.J. Gaspar, A.S. Lea, M.H. Engelhard, D.R. Baer, R. Miehr, P.G. Tratnyek, Evidence
- for localization of reaction upon reduction of CCl<sub>4</sub> by granular iron, *Langmuir* 2002, 18,
  7688.
- 447 [46] Y. Gerasimov, V. Dreving, E. Eremin, A. Kiselev, V. Lebedev, G. Panchenkov, A.
  448 Shlygin, Physical Chemistry. 1985 (MIR Moscow).
- [47] A.F. White, M.L. Paterson, Reduction of aqueous transition metal species on the surface
  of Fe(II)-containing oxides, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 1996, 60, 3799.
- [48] D. Naka, D. Kim, T.J. Strathmann, Abiotic reduction of nitroaromatic compounds by
  aqueous iron(II)-catechol complexes, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2006, 40, 3006.
- [49] D. Mishra, J. Farrell, Understanding nitrate reactions with zerovalent iron using tafel
  analysis and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, *Environ. Sci. Technol*, 2005, 39,
  645.
- 456 [50] M. Stratmann, J. Müller, The mechanism of the oxygen reduction on rust-covered metal
  457 substrates, *Corros. Sci.* 1994, 36, 327.
- [51] C. Noubactep, A critical review on the mechanism of contaminant removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>–H<sub>2</sub>O
  systems. *Environ. Technol.* 2008, 29, 909.
- 460 [52] P.R. Anderson, M.M. Benjamin, Effect of silicon on the crystallization and adsorption
- 461 properties of ferric oxides, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1985**, 19, 1048.

- 462 [53] B.K. Lavine, G. Auslander, J. Ritter, Polarographic studies of zero valent iron as a
  463 reductant for remediation of nitroaromatics in the environment, *Microchem. J.* 2001, 70,
  464 69.
- 465 [54] C.G. Schreier, M. Reinhard, Transformation of chlorinated organic compounds by iron
  466 and manganese powders in buffered water and in landfill leachate, Chemosphere 1994,
  467 29, 1743.
- 468 [55] D.W. Blowes, C.J. Ptacek, S.G. Benner, W.T. Mcrae Che, T.A. Bennett, R.W. Puls,
  469 Treatment of inorganic contaminants using permeable reactive barriers, *J. Contam.*470 *Hydrol.* 2000, 45, 123.
- 471 [56] P.G. Tratnyek, M.M. Scherer, T.J. Johnson, L.J. Matheson, Permeable reactive barriers
  472 of iron and other zero-valent metals. *In* Chemical Degradation Methods for Wastes and
  473 Pollutants: Environmental and Industrial Applications (Ed M.A. Tarr), 2003, pp. 371–421
- 474 (Marcel Dekker: New York).
- 475 [57] S.D Warner, D. Sorel, Ten years of permeable reactive barriers: lessons learned and
  476 future expectations. *In* Chlorinated Solvent and DNAPL Remediation: Innovative
  477 Strategies for Subsurface Cleanup (Eds S.M. Henry, S.D., Warner), 2003, pp. 36–50
  478 (American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, ACS Symp., Ser. 837).
- 479 [58] L. Wang, P. Li, Z. Wu, J. Yan, M. Wang and Y. Ding, Reduction of nitroarenes to
  480 aromatic amines with nanosized activated metallic iron powder in Water, *Synthesis* 2003,
  481 13, 2001.
- 482 [59] E.U. Franck, Fluids at high pressures and temperatures, *J. Chem. Thermodynamics* 1987,
  483 19, 225.
- 484 [60] A. Agrawal, P.G. Tratnyek, Reduction of nitro aromatic compounds by zero-valent iron
  485 metal. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1996, 30, 153.
- 486 [61] M.M. Scherer, K. Johnson, J.C. Westall, P.G. Tratnyek, Mass transport effects on the
- 487 kinetics of nitrobenzene reduction by iron metal. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, 35, 2804.

- [62] A.J. Béchamp, De l'action des protosels de fer sur la nitronaphtaline et la nitrobenzine.
  Nouvelle méthode de formation des bases organiques artificielles de Zinin., *Ann. Chim. Phys.* 1854, 42 (3), 186–196. cited in ref. 62.
- 491 [63] K. Swaminathan, P.N. Anantharaman, G.S. Subramanian, H.V.K. Udupa, Preliminary
  492 studies on the electrolytic reduction of nitrobenzene to aniline, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*
- **1972**, 2, 169.
- 494 [64] A.D. Mercer, E.A. Lumbard, Corrosion of mild steel in water, *Brit. Corr. J.* **1995**, 30, 43.
- [65] C. Noubactep, G. Meinrath, P. Dietrich, M. Sauter, B. Merkel, Testing the suitability of
  zerovalent iron materials for reactive walls, *Environ. Chem.* 2005, 2, 71.
- 497 [66] S. Choe, Y.Y. Chang, K.Y. Hwang, J. Khim, Kinetics of reductive denitrification by
  498 nanoscale zero-valent iron, *Chemosphere* 2000, 41, 1307.
- [67] H. Song, E.R. Carraway, Reduction of chlorinated methanes by nano-sized zero-valent
  iron. kinetics, pathways, and effect of reaction conditions. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 2006, 23,
  272.
- 502 [68] R.D. Vidic, M.T. Suidan, Role of dissolved oxygen on the adsorptive capacity of
  503 activated carbon for synthetic and natural organic matter, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1991, 25,
  504 1612.
- 505 [69] C. Noubactep, A. Schöner, G. Meinrath, Mechanism of uranium (VI) fixation by 506 elemental iron, *J. Hazard Mater.* **2006**, B132, 202.
- 507 [70] S.R. Qiu, H.-F. Lai, M.J. Roberson, M.L. Hunt, C. Amrhein, L.C. Giancarlo, G.W.
- 508 Flynn, J.A. Yarmoff, Removal of contaminants from aqueous solution by reaction with 509 iron surfaces, *Langmuir* **2000**, 16, 2230.
- 510 [71] C. Noubactep, The fundamental mechanism of aqueous contaminant removal by metallic
  511 iron. *Water SA* 2010, 36, 663–670.

- 512 [72] Y. Wang, K. Salvage, Immobilization of uranium in the presence of Fe<sup>0</sup>(s): Model
  513 development and simulation of contrasting experimental conditions, *Appl. Geochem.*514 2005, 20, 1268.
- 515 [73] C. Noubactep, The suitability of metallic iron for environmental remediation, Environ.
  516 Progr. Sust. En. 2010, 29, 286.
- 517 [74] C. Noubactep, Metallic iron for safe drinking water production, Freiberg Online Geol.
  518 27, 42 pp, ISSN 1434-7512.
- 519 [75] C. Noubactep, Aqueous contaminant removal by metallic iron: Is the paradigm shifting?
  520 *Water SA* 2011, 37, 419.
- 521 [76] C. Noubactep, Metallic iron for water treatment: A knowledge system challenges
  522 mainstream science, *Fres. Environ. Bull.* 2011, 20, 2632.
- 523 [77] M.R. Powell, W.R. Puls, K.S Hightower, A.D. Sebatini, Coupled iron corrosion and
  524 chromate reduction: mechanisms for subsurface remediation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1995,
  525 29, 1913.
- 526 [78] C. Noubactep, S. Caré, Designing laboratory metallic iron columns for better result
  527 comparability, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2011, 189, 809.
- [79] C. Noubactep, S. Caré, R.A. Crane, Nanoscale metallic iron for environmental
  remediation: prospects and limitations, *Water Air Soil Pollut*. 2011, doi: 10.1007/s11270011-0951-1.
- [80] B. Gu, L. Liang, M.J. Dickey, X. Yin, S. Dai, Reductive precipitation of uranium (VI) by
  zero-valent iron, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, 32, 3366.
- 533 [81] J.Y. Bottero, A. Manceau, F. Villieras, D. Tchoubar, Structure and mechanisms of
  534 formation of iron oxide hydroxide (chloride) polymers, *Langmuir* **1994**, 10, 316.
- [82] R.J. Crawford, I.H. Harding, D.E. Mainwaring, Adsorption and coprecipitation of
  multiple heavy metal ions onto the hydrated oxides of iron and chromium, *Langmuir*
- **1993**, 9, 3057.

- [83] A. Bojic, M. Purenovic, D. Bojic, Removal of chromium(VI) from water by microalloyed aluminium based composite in flow conditions, *Water SA* 2004, 30, 353.
- 540 [84] A.Lj. Bojic, D. Bojic, T. Andjelkovic, Dehalogenation of trihalomethanes by a micro541 alloyed aluminium composite under flow conditions, *Water SA* 2007, 33, 297.
- 542 [85] A. Bojic, D. Bojic, T. Andjelkovic, Removal of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  from model wastewaters
- 543 by spontaneous reduction-coagulation process in flow conditions, *J. Hazard. Mater*.
  544 2009, 168, 813
- 545 [86] C. Noubactep, A. Schöner, Metallic iron for environmental remediation: Learning from
  546 electrocoagulation, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010, 175, 1075.
- 547 [87] C. Noubactep, A. Schöner, M. Sauter, Significance of oxide-film in discussing the
  548 mechanism of contaminant removal by elemental iron materials. In "Photo549 Electrochemistry & Photo-Biology for the Sustainablity"; S. Kaneco, B. Viswanathan, H.
  550 Katsumata (Eds.), Bentham Science Publishers 2011, 1, 89–110.
- [88] R.M. Cornell, U. Schwertmann, The Iron Oxides: Structure, Properties, Reactions,
  Occurrences and Uses. 2003 (John Wiley & Sons Inc.)
- [89] U. Schwertmann, The inhibiting effect of soil organic matter on the crystallization of
  amorphous hydroxide to goethite, *Nature* 1966, 212, 645.
- [90] You Y., Han J., Chiu P.C., Jin Y., Removal and inactivation of waterborne viruses using
  zerovalent iron, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2005, 39, 9263.
- 557 [91] J.A. Lackovic, N.P. Nikolaidis, G.M. Dobbs, Inorganic arsenic removal by zero-valent
  558 iron, *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 2000, 17, 29.
- 559 [92] S.J. Morrison, D.R. Metzler, B.P. Dwyer, Removal of As, Mn, Mo, Se, U, V and Zn
- from groundwater by zero-valent iron in a passive treatment cell: reaction progress
  modelling, *J. Contam. Hydrol.* 2002, 56, 99.

- 562 [93] E. Sikora, D.D. Macdonald, The passivity of iron in the presence of
  563 ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid I. General electrochemical Behavior, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*564 2000, 147, 4087.
- 565 [94] G. Lee, S. Rho, D. Jahng, Design considerations for groundwater remediation using 566 reduced metals. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2004**, 21, 621.
- 567 [95] R.W. Gillham, Development of the granular iron permeable reactive barrier technology
- 568 (good science or good fortune). In "Advances in environmental geotechnics : proceedings
- 569 of the International Symposium on Geoenvironmental Engineering in Hangzhou, China,
- 570 September 8-10, 2009"; Y. Chen, X. Tang, L. Zhan (Eds); Springer Berlin/London, 2010,
- 571 pp. 5–15.
- 572 [96] C. Noubactep, A. Schöner, Metallic iron: dawn of a new era of drinking water treatment
  573 research? *Fresen. Environ. Bull.* 2010, 19, 1661.
- 574 [97] C. Noubactep, S. Caré, Dimensioning metallic iron beds for efficient contaminant
  575 removal, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010, 163, 454.
- 576 [98] C. Noubactep, Metallic iron for safe drinking water worldwide, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010, 165
  577 740.
- 578 [99] D.D.J. Antia, Sustainable zero-valent metal (ZVM) water treatment associated with 579 diffusion, infiltration, abstraction and recirculation, *Sustainability* **2010**, 2, 2988.
- [100] D.E. Giles, M. Mohapatra, T.B. Issa, S. Anand, P. Singh, Iron and aluminium based
  adsorption strategies for removing arsenic from water. *J. Environ. Manage.* 2011, 92,
  3011
- 583

**Table 1.** Lattice parameters (a, b, c), structure and density of metallic iron and relevant
corrosion products (iron oxides). The oxide-film on Fe<sup>0</sup> (rust) is an oxide mixture of
different crystal structure than iron. Therefore the film is porous and poorly adherent
(non-protective). Data from ref. [31].

Substance	Structure	Density	lattice parameters (in Å)		
		$(g/cm^3)$	а	b	С
Fe <sup>0</sup>	cubic	7.86	2.866	2.866	2.866
Fe(OH) <sub>2</sub>	trigonal	3.40	3.27	3.27	4.62
FeOOH (gel)	cubic		8.37	8.37	8.37
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (magnetite)	cubic	5.175	8.396	8.396	8.396
FeOOH (goethite)	orthorhombic	4.28	4.60	10.01	3.04
FeOOH (akageneite)	tetragonal	3.55	10.52	10.52	3.028
FeOOH (lepidocrocite)	orthorhombic	4.09	3.65	12.50	3.07
$Fe_2O_3$ (hematite)	trigonal		5.035	13.72	5.26

**Figure 1**: pH dependence of metal hydroxide solubilities at pH values of natural waters. The trend for iron precipitation under oxic conditions (Fe<sup>II</sup>) is clear and delineates the suitability of Fe<sup>0</sup> for water treatment by adorption and co-precipitation. Even under anoxic condition Fe(OH)<sub>2</sub> polymerize and readily precipitate. Data from ref. [32]



