

1 **Comments on „Mechanism study of nitrate reduction by nano zero valent iron“ by**
2 **Hwang et al. [J. Hazard. Mater. (2010) doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.10.078.]**

3 C. Noubactep

4 Angewandte Geologie, Universität Göttingen, Goldschmidtstraße 3, D - 37077 Göttingen, Germany.

5 Tel.: +49 551 39 3191, Fax.: +49 551 399379, e-mail: cnoubac@gwdg.de

6
7 In a recent article, Hwang et al. [1] reported on the mechanism of nitrate (NO_3^-) reduction by
8 nanoscale metallic iron (nano- Fe^0). A particular attention was paid to the fate of nitrogen
9 species during nitrate reduction. This article with 20 peer-reviewed references is very
10 informative. However, the objective of the study is questionable as it challenges the state-of-
11 the-art knowledge on the mechanism of aqueous contaminant removal in the presence of Fe^0
12 (e.g. in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ systems) [2-4]. The view that Fe^0 is a reducing agent has been challenged
13 three years ago [2].

14 Hwang et al. [1] referenced 15 peer-reviewed articles dealing with remediation with metallic
15 iron (Fe^0 and nano- Fe^0). From these, one is a critical review published in 2008 [5], showing
16 that the article of Hwang et al. [1] is principally well-referenced. From the remaining 14
17 research articles published between 1997 and 2008, only 3 did not directly deal with NO_3^- ,
18 suggesting that the authors have focused their attention on articles dealing with nitrate while
19 preparing and presenting their work. Additionally, all 3 remaining articles not dealing with
20 NO_3^- , used nano- Fe^0 . Accordingly, Hwang et al. [1] used a commended approach to prepare
21 and present their work. The question that arises is why the product of such an intellectual
22 effort is not satisfying?

23 The problem is the origin. In fact, based on a false premise, researchers working on Fe^0 for
24 water treatment have created a sort of *modern knowledge system* which used (or misused)
25 scientific arguments, as will be shown below. Clearly, Fe^0 is not a reducing agent for
26 contaminant removal including NO_3^- , and the objective of water treatment is not contaminant

27 chemical transformation (e.g. reduction) but contaminant removal. A chemical transformation
28 (oxidation or reduction) may render a contaminant more removable but is not a stand alone
29 removal mechanism. In the case of NO_3^- , for example, completely reducing NO_3^- to NH_4^+ will
30 not produce clean water, unless NH_4^+ is removed down below the MCL value (maximum
31 concentration limit). There are five possible mechanisms for contaminant removal in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$
32 systems: adsorption, co-precipitation, precipitation, size-exclusion and volatilization. Apart
33 from precipitation, all other removal mechanisms are applicable to NO_3^- removal in batch
34 systems. It is important to notice that, in a real world system, e.g. nano- Fe^0 in the subsurface
35 reactive zone, there will be no possibility to homogenize the system by stirring the solution as
36 Hwang et al. [1] did. Moreover, whether nitrate is reduced or not, it is progressively
37 enmeshed by very reactive iron hydroxides and continues to be fixed while hydroxides are
38 further transformed (crystallisation) [6]. Nitrate enmeshed in the matrix of iron corrosion
39 products cannot be leached by water and are stable under environmental conditions. Adsorbed
40 nitrate can be leached; it could also be reduced by adsorbed Fe^{II} and adsorbed H/H_2 from Fe^0
41 oxidative dissolution. The fate of reduced forms of NO_3^- is the same. The hitherto
42 presentation has questioned the importance of quantifying the extent of NO_3^- reduction by
43 nano- Fe^0 . Furthermore, it demonstrates that mass balance without iron oxide dissolution is not
44 possible in real systems. A better approach could be to work under relevant conditions (e.g.
45 non-disturbed or stirred at very low speed) and evaluate the extent of desorbable N species.
46 In conclusion, the false premise, that contaminants are reduced in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ should be
47 abandoned for rapid progress in the optimisation of the proven efficient technology of using
48 Fe^0 for water treatment. Given the huge number of available publications on water treatment
49 with Fe^0 , it is obvious that individual researchers or research groups could not always be
50 aware on the state-of-the-art knowledge. The situation is even worse for researchers from
51 small research centres and low-income countries (mostly in the developing world). It is the
52 responsibility of editors and other promoters of science to create the conditions to efficiently

53 reach the large scientific community, a proven efficient tool is a special issue on recent
54 progresses. Eleven (11) years after the special issue on the “the current state of practice and
55 research in the area of reactive barriers” [7], a second special issue seems urgently necessary
56 to “provide impetus to further studies in this evolving subject”. [7]

57 **Cited References**

- 58 [1] Y.-H. Hwang, D.-G. Kim, H.-S. Shin, Mechanism study of nitrate reduction by nano zero
59 valent iron, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, (2010) doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.10.078.
- 60 [2] C. Noubactep, Processes of contaminant removal in “Fe⁰-H₂O” systems revisited: The
61 importance of co-precipitation, *Open Environ. J.*, 1 (2007) 9–13.
- 62 [3] C. Noubactep, A critical review on the mechanism of contaminant removal in Fe⁰-H₂O
63 systems, *Environ. Technol.*, 29 (2008) 909–920.
- 64 [4] C. Noubactep, The fundamental mechanism of aqueous contaminant removal by metallic
65 iron, *Water SA*, 36 (2010) 663–670. (available on website <http://www.wrc.org.za>).
- 66 [5] J. Theron, J.A. Walker, T.E. Cloete, Nanotechnology and water treatment: Applications
67 and emerging opportunities, *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.*, 34 (2008) 43–69.
- 68 [6] C. Noubactep, Metallic iron for safe drinking water worldwide, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 165 (2010)
69 740–749.
- 70 [7] Editorial, Preface, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 68 (1999) ix.