

1 **Comment on “Reductive dechlorination of γ -hexachloro-cyclohexane using Fe–Pd**
2 **bimetallic nanoparticles” by Nagpal et al. [J. Hazard. Mater. 175 (2010) 680–687]**

3 Noubactep C.

4 Angewandte Geologie, Universität Göttingen, Goldschmidtstraße 3, D - 37077 Göttingen, Germany.

5 e-mail: cnoubac@gwdg.de; Tel. +49 551 39 3191, Fax: +49 551 399379

6

7 **Abstract**

8 The author used a recent article on lindane (γ -hexachloro-cyclohexane) reductive
9 dechlorination by Fe/Pd bimetallics to point out that many other of published works in several
10 journals do not conform to the state-of-the-art knowledge on the mechanism of aqueous
11 contaminant removal by metallic iron (e.g. in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ systems). It is the author’s view that
12 the contribution of adsorbed Fe^{II} to the process of contaminant reduction has been neglected
13 while discussing the entire process of contaminant reduction in the presence of bimetallics.

14 **Keywords:** Adsorption; Bimetallic Co-precipitation; Iron corrosion; Zerovalent iron.

15 **1 Introduction**

16 Nagpal and his colleagues recently presented a very informative article on the chemical
17 reduction (reductive dechlorination) of lindane (γ -hexachloro-cyclohexane) in aqueous
18 solution using Fe/Pd bimetallic nanoparticles [1]. It is argued in the present work that this
19 study (i) used an inappropriate experimental design/procedure and (ii) failed to recognise the
20 results of several recent publications on contaminant removal using Fe^0 -based materials as
21 will be shown below.

22 **2 Reactive species present in a $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system**

23 The diversity of reactive species in a conventional $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system (micro-sized Fe^0) has been
24 extensively discussed during the past 20 years [2-5]. In typical conditions, the $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$
25 system is comprised of metallic iron and its associated corrosion products. Iron corrosion
26 products, in dissolved or adsorbed forms, include: (i) primary species, such as Fe^{II} , H or H_2 ,

27 which are also reducing agents (co-reductants), (ii) secondary species, such as Fe^{III} or
28 $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}/\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}$ oxide/hydroxides, which may be reducing agents (e.g. green rust) or adsorbents
29 (green rust, Fe^{III} -hydroxides), and (iii) other corrosion products which result from
30 transformations or combinations of primary and secondary corrosion products (FeOOH ,
31 Fe_3O_4 , Fe_2O_3). Other corrosion products include HO^\bullet radicals which are known for their
32 oxidative capacity for organic chemicals [4-9].

33 It can therefore be implied that depending on solution conditions contaminants in the $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$
34 system can be either adsorbed, oxidized or reduced [9]. As the $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system can be
35 regarded a dynamically evolving system and it remains highly difficult to separate the relative
36 contributions of each individual reaction [4]. For example: (i) during precipitation, iron
37 hydroxides and oxides will enmesh available (organic and inorganic) contaminants and/or
38 their reaction products (co-precipitation) [10,11], and (ii) iron oxides will serve as adsorption
39 sites for self-catalytic reactions using H_2/H and/or Fe^{II} [12,13]. Reducible contaminants, such
40 as lindane, could therefore be adsorbed and/or co-precipitated before or after reduction. As a
41 result, it can be stated that the relative contributions of co-reductants, such as adsorbed Fe^{II}
42 and H_2/H , could be much greater than Fe^0 due to their increased accessibility to the aqueous
43 contaminant [5-7,13]. It is argued in the present work that this was not appropriately
44 presented/discussed by Nagpal et al. [1].

45 The conventional $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system is modified by Nagpal et al. [1] in two ways: (i) by the use
46 of nano-sized particles and (ii) by the use of plating Pd^0 (Pd/Fe bimetallic system). While a
47 smaller particle size unequivocally enhances reaction kinetics, the prevailing effects of the
48 presence of Pd^0 on Fe^0 surface has been challenged [8] but not considered in their
49 presentation by Nagpal et al. [1].

50 **3 Effects of Pd^0 on the performance of $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ systems**

51 Nagpal et al. [1] attributed enhanced lindane degradation efficiency to “increased catalytic
52 reactivity due to the presence of Pd^0 on the surface”. This statement is intrinsically valid but

53 the prevailing mechanism (lindane hydrodechlorination on Pd⁰ surface) has been challenged
54 [8]. Results from [8] suggest that (i) dissolved Pd^{II} species will be enmeshed in the mass of
55 precipitating iron hydroxides/oxides, and (ii) Pd⁰ will be covered by surface oxide phases that
56 will retard any potential catalytic activities. In both cases the net result is a decrease in the
57 contaminant degradation efficiency as a function of time. This argument provides strong
58 evidence to suggest that, unlike bimetallic composites, coated bimetallic materials are
59 fundamentally inappropriate for long-term reactivity applications. The fundamental suitability
60 of Fe⁰ composites for water treatment and their superiority over conventional Fe⁰ materials
61 has been demonstrated by Hussam et al. [14,15]. These authors replaced conventional iron
62 shavings by a composite iron material (CIM: 92 - 94 % Fe, 4 - 5 % C, 1 - 2 % SiO₂, 1 - 2 %
63 Mn, 1-2% S, P) and could transform a highly efficient but not sustainable system (3-Kolshi
64 filter) into a highly functional and sustainable system (SONO filter) [15]. Actually, SONO
65 filters initially designed for arsenic removal has been shown efficient in removing a large
66 spectrum of contaminants including bacteria, nitrates, pesticides and viruses [16,17].
67 Beside the reaction mechanism for the hydrodechlorination on Pd⁰ surfaces reported by [1], it
68 can be argued that there is another possible explanation for the enhanced degradation
69 efficiency observed. In the initial stages of the reaction, highly reactive iron hydroxides are
70 produced and these can adsorb dissolved Fe^{II} to produce structural Fe^{II} (adsorbed Fe^{II} or
71 Fe^{II}_(s)). As the electrode potential for the redox couple Fe^{III}_(s)/Fe^{II}_(s) varies from -0.65 to -0.36
72 V compared to E⁰ = -0.44 V exhibited by Fe⁰ (Fe^{II}_(aq)/Fe⁰_(s)) it can therefore be stated that the
73 formation of structural Fe^{II} on the surface of the material during the initial stages of the
74 reaction could represent a further mechanism for the enhanced (catalytic) reduction of lindane
75 observed. Adsorbed Fe^{II} is always superior to molecular hydrogen (E⁰ = 0.00 V) as a reducing
76 agent [7].
77 It can also be suggested that the experimental conditions employed by Nagpal et al. [1] were
78 not appropriate for the conclusions drawn.

79 4 Suitability of the experimental design for Fe/H₂O reactions

80 Due to the aforementioned complex mechanism of Fe⁰ oxidative corrosion, research into the
81 Fe⁰/H₂O system is typically characterised by a pragmatic approach, and often a high degree of
82 variation in solution conditions between experiments (Table 1). For example, (i) at present
83 there is no universal Fe⁰ reference material available; (ii) nano-sized Fe⁰ materials are used
84 for their increased reactivity, (iii) Fe⁰ bimetallic systems are also used for their increased
85 reactivity. Accordingly, it can be stated that, when using nano-scale bimetallic Fe⁰, two
86 variants exist that have strong bearings on nanoparticulate reactivity.

87 Batch experiments of Nagpal et al. [1] were performed with 15 mL solutions of 5 mg/L
88 lindane (C₆H₆Cl₆: 290,83 g·mol⁻¹). The solutions contained 100 to 500 mg/L of Fe/Pd
89 nanoparticles (Fe: 56 g·mol⁻¹). With a Fe⁰:lindane molar ratio therefore ≥7000 in all systems
90 studied, it can be suggested that this experiment may be inappropriate as a “real-world”
91 analogue, as it would be difficult to maintain such a large Fe⁰:contaminant molar ratio in the
92 subsurface.

93 The authors also employed an agitator to maintain nanoparticle suspension during the
94 experiment. This poses an uncertainty with respect to ensuring consistent mixing throughout
95 the reaction. Table 1 clearly shows the diversity of used experimental designs on the basis of
96 selected works referenced by Nagpal et al. [1]. In general, the investigation of processes
97 yielding aqueous contaminant removal by Fe⁰ has been biased by the use of irrelevant
98 experimental conditions [5,22]. In particular, the use of experimental designs disturbing the
99 formation of an oxide scale in the vicinity of Fe⁰ has significantly disturbed mechanistic
100 investigation and contributed to general acceptance of the view that Fe⁰ is a reducing agent
101 (direct reduction). However, even though Fe⁰ could generate reducing species (Fe⁰, H/H₂) that
102 may quantitatively reduce relevant contaminants, the primary objective of water treatment is
103 contaminant removal and not contaminant reduction. Accordingly, even reduced species must
104 be physically removed from the aqueous phase. The next section will give an overview on the

105 acceptance on the concept that contaminants are quantitatively removed by adsorption and co-
106 precipitation.

107 **5 Acceptance of the adsorption co-precipitation concept.**

108 Although the concept that in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ systems contaminants are quantitatively removed by
109 adsorption, co-precipitation and size-exclusion has been presented in several peer-reviewed
110 communications and has been independently confirmed as summarized in ref. [7], this
111 concept is not yet really accepted in the scientific community [23-25]. Although the concept
112 is based on thermodynamic considerations and has considered several aspects of aqueous iron
113 corrosion, some authors have criticized that mentioned communications are using self-citation
114 [24] or that the concept is lacking experimental support for organic contaminants [24,25].

115 The argument that organic compounds (known to adsorb very little onto iron oxides) are not
116 going to be sequestered and co-precipitated in the same fashion as metals, is not acceptable
117 for at least four reasons:

118 (i) Organic compounds are in trace in an “ocean” of iron oxides and hydroxides [4]. Despite
119 low affinity, organic compounds will be collected by in-situ generated iron corrosion products
120 and/or removed from the aqueous phase by size exclusion. A direct evidence is given by Lai
121 et al. [26] who reported on quantitative removal of 1,2-dichloroethane and dichloromethane in
122 Fe^0 reactive walls although both compounds were “proven to be not treatable by Fe^0 ”. This
123 observation was attributed to enhanced adsorption or microbial degradation.

124 (ii) Organic compounds have been successfully removed by electrocoagulation (using Al or
125 Fe). The similitude between electrocoagulation and contaminant removal in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system
126 is well-documented [27,28]. For example, Bojic et al. [27] reported that the mechanism of
127 action of alloyed metallic aluminium (Al^0) for contaminant removal is based on the “several
128 physico-chemical processes and the in situ formation of the coagulant”. The major processes
129 being adsorption, reduction, hydrogenation, hydrolysis and coagulation, operating
130 synergistically to (degrade and) remove variety of aqueous contaminants. Noubactep [4-7] has

131 segregated between removal (adsorption, coagulation, size-exclusion) and transformation
132 (reduction, hydrogenation and hydrolysis) mechanisms and argued that even transformed
133 species are removed by adsorption, co-precipitation or size-exclusion.

134 (iii) The adsorption/co-precipitation concept has been validated by Noubactep using
135 methylene blue ($C_{16}H_{18}N_3SCl$) as model contaminant [29,30]. The model was further
136 independently confirmed using clofibric acid ($C_{10}H_{11}ClO_3$) and diclofenac ($C_{14}H_{11}Cl_2NO_2$)
137 [31,32].

138 (iv) The adsorption co-precipitation concept has been indirectly validated by giving a better
139 interpretation on data on thiobencarb ($C_{12}H_{16}ClNOS$) [33], triazoles ($C_2H_3N_3$) [34],
140 Ethylenediaminetetraacetic (EDTA, $C_{10}H_{16}N_2O_8$) [35], tartrate ($C_4H_4O_6^{2-}$) and glycine
141 ($C_2H_5NO_2$) [36].

142 In conclusion, it is over to the scientific community to disprove (or improve) the
143 adsorption/co-precipitation concept. A recent paper by Gillham et al. [37] has stated that
144 “there are ongoing discussions on the mechanism of contaminant removal processes by
145 metallic iron”. The present work is part of these discussions.

146 **6 Concluding remarks**

147 While presenting scientific work, authors should always use objectivity and clarity in
148 formulating their conclusions. Despite supported by a peer review system, this however never
149 ensures 100% validity of published work [38].

150 The author’s view is that the study by Nagpal et al. [1] has overlooked the role of structural
151 Fe^{II} in the chemical transformation and the removal of aqueous contaminants in bimetallic
152 systems [8]. The concept employed by Nagpal et al. [1] has already been proven to be
153 deficient [4-8]. The alternative concept, the adsorption/co-precipitation concept, is largely
154 presented in the Journal of Hazardous Materials and has been demonstrated by independent
155 researchers [13,31,32]. Considering this, the current author would like to appeal to subsequent
156 authors, peer-reviewers, and referees to consider the above arguments. The presented

157 comments with respect to the work of Nagpal et al. [1] can also be applied to several recent
158 publications using Fe⁰ and Fe⁰ bimetallic systems for water treatment in various journals.

159 To conclude the author supports the comments of Tien [39] who is paraphrased as “The
160 viability and the acceptability of Fe⁰-based remediation technology depends largely on the
161 quality of its investigators and the work they produce. The fact that within the Fe⁰ remediation
162 community, some authors have the choice to: (i) select references to “accurately” present their
163 results, and (ii) possibly select journals to the same purposes must be a concern to all
164 members of the community.”

165 Considering the comments outlined in the current work, it is the author’s view that critical
166 analysis of future and concurrent publications is required in order to ensure the effective
167 advance of research associated within the Fe⁰/H₂O system [40].

168 **Acknowledgments**

169 English corrections provided by Richard Crane (Interface Analysis Centre, University of
170 Bristol -UK) on the draft manuscript are gratefully acknowledged.

171 **References**

172 [1] V. Nagpal, A.D. Bokare, R.C. Chikate, C.V. Rode, K.M. Paknikar, Reductive
173 dechlorination of γ -hexachlorocyclohexane using Fe–Pd bimetallic nanoparticles, J.
174 Hazard. Mater. 175 (2010), 680–687.

175 [2] L.J. Matheson, P.G. Tratnyek, Reductive dehalogenation of chlorinated methanes by iron
176 metal, Environ. Sci. Technol. 28 (1994), 2045–2053.

177 [3] A.D. Henderson, A.H. Demond, Long-term performance of zero-valent iron permeable
178 reactive barriers: a critical review, Environ. Eng. Sci. 24 (2007), 401–423.

179 [4] C. Noubactep, An analysis of the evolution of reactive species in Fe⁰/H₂O systems, J.
180 Hazard. Mater. 168 (2009) 1626–1631.

181 [5] C. Noubactep, A critical review on the mechanism of contaminant removal in Fe⁰–H₂O
182 systems, Environ. Technol. 29 (2008) 909–920.

- 183 [6] C. Noubactep, Elemental metals for environmental remediation: learning from
184 cementation process, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 181 (2010) 1170–1174.
- 185 [7] C. Noubactep, The fundamental mechanism of aqueous contaminant removal by metallic
186 iron, *Water SA* 36 (2010) 663–670.
- 187 [8] C. Noubactep, On the operating mode of bimetallic systems for environmental
188 remediation, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 164 (2009), 394–395.
- 189 [9] D.F. Laine, I.F. Cheng, The destruction of organic pollutants under mild reaction
190 conditions: A review, *Microchem. J.* 85 (2007) 183–193.
- 191 [10] R.J. Crawford, I.H. Harding, D.E. Mainwaring, Adsorption and coprecipitation of
192 multiple heavy metal ions onto the hydrated oxides of iron and chromium, *Langmuir* 9
193 (1993) 3057–3062.
- 194 [11] K. Eusterhues, T. Rennert, H. Knicker, I. Kgel-Knabner, K.U. Totsche, U.
195 Schwertmann, Fractionation of organic matter due to reaction with ferrihydrite:
196 Coprecipitation versus adsorption, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (2011) 527–533.
- 197 [12] L. Charlet, D. Bosbach, T. Peretyashko, Natural attenuation of TCE, As, Hg linked to the
198 heterogeneous oxidation of Fe(II): an AFM study, *Chem. Geol.* 190 (2002) 303–319.
- 199 [13] Y. Jiao, C. Qiu, L. Huang, K. Wu, H. Ma, S. Chen, L. Ma, L. Wu, Reductive
200 dechlorination of carbon tetrachloride by zero-valent iron and related iron corrosion,
201 *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 91 (2009) 434–440.
- 202 [14] A. Hussam, A.K.M. Munir, A simple and effective arsenic filter based on composite iron
203 matrix: Development and deployment studies for groundwater of Bangladesh, *J.*
204 *Environ. Sci. Health A* 42 (2007) 1869–1878.
- 205 [15] A. Hussam, Contending with a development disaster: SONO filters remove arsenic from
206 well water in Bangladesh, *Innovations* 4 (2009) 89–102.
- 207 [16] S. Tuladhar, L.S. Smith, SONO filter: An excellent technology for save water in Nepal,
208 *SOPHEN* 7 (2009) 18–24.

- 209 [17] S. Tuladhar, Er.B. Man Shakya, A study on the performance of SONO filter in reducing
210 different drinking water quality parameters of ground water: A case study in Ramgram
211 municipality of Nawalparasi District, Nepal. Paper presented at the Regional
212 Conference on Appropriate Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) Solution for
213 Informal Settlements and Marginalized Communities, Kathmandu, Nepal, May 19-21,
214 (2010) 297–310.
- 215 [18] Y. Kim, E.R. Carraway, Dechlorination of pentachlorophenol by zero valent iron and
216 modified zero valent irons, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34 (2000) 2014–2017.
- 217 [19] Y.-H. Tee, L. Bachas, D. Bhattacharya, Degradation of trichloroethylene and
218 dichlorobiphenyls by iron-based bimetallic nanoparticles, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 113
219 (2009) 9454–9464.
- 220 [20] Y. Liu, S.A. Majetich, R.D. Tilton, D.S. Sholl, G.V. Lowry, TCE dechlorination rates,
221 pathways, and efficiency of nanoscale iron particles with different properties, *Environ.*
222 *Sci. Technol.* 39 (2005) 1338–1345.
- 223 [21] Z. Wang, P. Peng, W. Huang, Dechlorination of γ -hexachlorocyclohexane by zero-valent
224 metallic iron, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 166 (2009) 992–997.
- 225 [22] C. Noubactep, T. Licha, T.B. Scott, M. Fall, M. Sauter, Exploring the influence of
226 operational parameters on the reactivity of elemental iron materials, *J. Hazard. Mater.*
227 172 (2009) 943–951.
- 228 [23a] M. Ebert, V. Birke, H. Burmeier, A. Dahmke, P. Hein, R. Köber, H. Schad, D. Schäfer,
229 M. Steiof, Comments on the articles "the end of a myth" and "on the operating mode of
230 reactive walls" by Dr. Chicgoua Noubactep, (in German) *Wasser, Luft und Boden*
231 (Terratech) 7-8 (2007) 4–5.
- 232 [23b] M. Elsner, D.M. Cwiertny, A.L. Roberts, B.S. Lollar, Response to Comment on
233 "1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane reactions with OH⁻, Cr(II), granular iron, and a copper-iron

- 234 bimetal: Insights from product formation and associated carbon isotope fractionation",
235 Environ. Sci. Technol. 41 (2007) 7949–7950
- 236 [24] S.-H. Kang, W. Choi, Response to comment on “oxidative degradation of organic
237 compounds using zero-valent iron in the presence of natural organic matter serving as an
238 electron shuttle”, Environ. Sci. Technol. 43 (2009) 3966–3967.
- 239 [25] P.G. Tratnyek, A.J. Salter, Response to comment on “Degradation of 1,2,3-
240 trichloropropane (TCP): Hydrolysis, elimination, and reduction by iron and zinc”,
241 Environ. Sci. Technol. 44 (2010) 3198–3199.
- 242 [26] K.C.K. Lai, I.M.C. Lo, V. Birkelund, P. Kjeldsen, Field monitoring of a permeable
243 reactive barrier for removal of chlorinated organics, J. Environ. Eng. 132 (2006) 199–
244 210.
- 245 [27] A.Lj. Bojic, D. Bojic, T. Andjelkovic, Removal of Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} from model
246 wastewaters by spontaneous reduction–coagulation process in flow conditions, J.
247 Hazard. Mater. 168 (2009) 813–819.
- 248 [28] C. Noubactep, A. Schöner, Metallic iron for environmental remediation: Learning from
249 electrocoagulation, J. Hazard. Mater. 175 (2010) 1075–1080.
- 250 [29] C. Noubactep, Characterizing the discoloration of methylene blue in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ systems, J.
251 Hazard. Mater. 166 (2009) 79–87.
- 252 [30] C. Noubactep, A.-M.F. Kurth, M. Sauter, Evaluation of the effects of shaking intensity
253 on the process of methylene blue discoloration by metallic iron, J. Hazard. Mater. 169
254 (2009) 1005–1011.
- 255 [31] A. Ghauch, H. Abou Assi, A. Tuqan, Investigating the mechanism of clofibric acid
256 removal in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ systems, J. Hazard. Mater. 176 (2010), 48–55.
- 257 [32] A. Ghauch, H. Abou Assi, S. Bdeir, Aqueous removal of diclofenac by plated elemental
258 iron: Bimetallic systems, J. Hazard. Mater. 182 (2010) 64–74.

- 259 [33] C. Noubactep, Comments on “Removal of thiobencarb in aqueous solution by zero
260 valent iron” by Nurul Amin et al. [Chemosphere 70 (3) (2008) 511–515], Chemosphere
261 72 (2008) 325–327.
- 262 [34] C. Noubactep, Comments on “Sorption of triazoles to soil and iron minerals” by Y. Jia et
263 al. [Chemosphere 67 (2007) 250–258], Chemosphere 71 (2008) 802–806.
- 264 [35] C. Noubactep, Comments on “Decontamination of solutions containing EDTA using
265 metallic iron” by Gyliene O., et al. [J. Hazard. Mater. (2008)], J. Hazard. Mater. 165
266 (2009) 1261–1263.
- 267 [36] C. Noubactep, Comments on “Decontamination of solutions containing Cu(II) and
268 ligands tartrate, glycine and quardol using metallic iron” [J. Hazard. Mater. (175 (2010)
269 452–459)], J. Hazard. Mater. 177 (2010) 1165–1166.
- 270 [37] S.-W. Jeon, R.W. Gillham, A. Przepiora, Predictions of long-term performance of
271 granular iron permeable reactive barriers: Field-scale evaluation, J. Contam. Hydrol,
272 123 (2011) 50–64.
- 273 [38] J.R. Wilson, Responsible authorship and peer review, Sci. Eng. Ethics 8 (2002), 155–
274 174.
- 275 [39] C. Tien, Remarks on adsorption manuscripts revised and declined, Sep. Purif. Technol.
276 54 (2007), 277–278. or J. Hazard. Mater. 150 (2008), 2–3.
- 277 [40] A. Berthod, So What? or required content of a review article, Sep. Purif. Rev. 38 (2009)
278 203–206.

279

280

280 **Table 1:** Selected experimental conditions of Nagpal et al. [1] and references therein [19-22].
 281 The experimental designs further differ regarding the availability of oxygen
 282 (anoxic/oxic), the mixing type and mixing intensity, and experimental duration. V
 283 is the used volume of solution and ρ_{Material} the material loading. The proportion of
 284 plating metal in bimetallic systems has not been considered.

Contaminant (X)	M_X (g/mol)	Material	V (mL)	$[X]$ (mM)	ρ_{Material} (mM)	Material/X (-)	Ref.
Lindane	290.83	nano-Fe/Pd	15	0.017	119	6925	[1]
		nano-Fe/Pd	15	0.017	595	34623	
Pentachlorophenol	266.34	micro-Fe	10	0.038	1786	47619	[18]
		micro-Fe/Pd	10	0.038	1786	47619	
		micro-Fe/Pt	10	0.038	1786	47619	
		micro-Fe/Ni	10	0.038	1786	47619	
		micro-Fe/Cu	10	0.038	1786	47619	
Trichloroethylene	131.39	nano-Fe/Pd	40	0.076	45	587	[19]
		nano-Fe/Ni	40	3.805	45	12	
Trichloroethylene	131.39	micro-Fe	100	0.220	7	32	[20]
		micro-Fe	100	0.210	7	31	
Lindane	290.83	micro-Fe	5	0.024	89	3720	[21]
		micro-Fe	5	0.024	179	7440	
		micro-Fe	5	0.024	357	14881	

285