

1 **Extending service life of household water filters by mixing metallic iron with**
2 **sand**

3 Noubactep Chicgoua^{*(1,5)}, Caré Sabine⁽²⁾, Togue-Kamga Fulbert⁽³⁾, Schöner Angelika⁽⁴⁾, Wofo Paul⁽³⁾

4 ⁽¹⁾ Angewandte Geologie, Universität Göttingen, Goldschmidtstraße 3, D - 37077 Göttingen, Germany.

5 ⁽²⁾ Université Paris-Est, Laboratoire Navier, Ecole des Ponts - ParisTech, LCPC, CNRS, 2 allée Kepler, 77420 Champs
6 sur Marne, France.

7 ⁽³⁾ Laboratory of Modelling and Simulation in Engineering and Biological Physics, Faculty of Science, University of
8 Yaounde I, Box 812 Yaounde, Cameroon.

9 ⁽⁴⁾ Institut für Geowissenschaften, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Burgweg 11, D-07749 Jena, Germany.

10 ⁽⁵⁾ Kultur und Nachhaltige Entwicklung CDD e.V., Postfach 1502, D - 37005 Göttingen, Germany.

11 (*) corresponding author: cnoubac@gwdg.de; Tel. +49 551 39 3191, Fax: +49 551 399379.

12
13 **Running Title:** Mixing inert additives and reactive Fe⁰ is a prerequisite for sustainable filters.

14
15 **Acronym List**

16 CIM Composite iron matrix

17 KAF KanchanTM arsenic filters

18 MDG Millennium Development Goal

19 SAF SONO arsenic filters

20 **Keywords:** Drinking water, Filter clogging; Iron/sand filter; Long-term reactivity; Zerovalent iron.

21 **Abstract**

22 The use of metallic iron filters (Fe^0 filters) has been discussed as a promising low-cost option for
23 safe drinking water production at household level. Filter clogging due to the volumetric expansive
24 nature of iron corrosion has been identified as the major problem of Fe^0 filters. Mixing Fe^0 and sand
25 (yielding Fe^0 /sand filters) has been proposed as a tool to extend filter service life. However, no
26 systematic discussion rationalizing Fe^0 :sand mixtures is yet available. This communication
27 theoretically discussed suitable Fe^0 :sand proportions for efficient filters. Results suggested that
28 Fe^0 /sand filters should not contain more than 50 vol-% Fe^0 (25 wt-% when Fe^0 is mixed with quartz).
29 The actual Fe^0 percentage in a filter will depend on its intrinsic reactivity.

30 **1 Introduction**

31 Safe water provision in emergency situations and in remote communities is a serious global problem
32 [1-12]. In such situations, available raw waters are often polluted by microbial and chemical
33 contaminants. Several filtration systems suitable for rendering raw waters potable have been
34 presented [1, 2, 7, 13-17]. For example, the Josab Aqualite™ System using a natural zeolite was
35 developed and successfully used during the Balkan conflict and after the tsunami [7]. SONO filters
36 based on a composite-iron matrix (CIM) is extensively used for arsenic removal at household level
37 in rural Bangladesh and Nepal [1, 13]. Josab Aqualite™ Systems use ultra filtration and are
38 available as portable and mobile units weighing from 95 to 1800 kg. Although Josab Aqualite™

39 filtration systems can be readily transported to the points-of-need, it is clear that simpler efficient
40 systems like SONO filters may be very helpful even in emergency situations. Other more practical
41 systems similar to Josab Aqualite™ Systems have been presented [18-22]. These systems mostly
42 use synthetic membranes and are rarely universally affordable.

43 In the developing world the application of low cost household water treatment technology of
44 variable effectiveness (boiling, chlorination, filtration, solar disinfection) has been promoted in
45 recent years in the frame work of efforts to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) for
46 water [8, 23, 24]. Filtration was identified as the simplest efficient technology [13, 14 ,17]. The
47 main research question is how to design a good stand-alone filter which operates for long time (e.g.
48 12 months or more) demanding neither electricity nor addition of chemicals. Based on recent
49 progresses on understanding mechanistic aspects of aqueous contaminant removal in the presence
50 of Fe⁰ (e.g. in Fe⁰/H₂O systems) [25-28]. Fe⁰ filters have been suggested as low cost, efficient
51 systems for universal safe drinking water production [17, 29, 30]. Previous efforts using Fe⁰ filters
52 were limited to individual contaminants, e.g. arsenic [13, 15] or viruses [31].

53 The main concern with Fe⁰ filters is the reduction in pore space caused by mineral precipitation
54 (mineral fouling or filter clogging). Fouling of the pore space reduces the porosity and hydraulic
55 conductivity of filter [17, 32, 33]. Likely causes of filter clogging are: (i) adsorption of fouling
56 substances (e.g. colloids), (ii) bio-corrosion, (iii) cake formation, and (iv) pore filling or filter

57 clogging. Bio-corrosion is microbial accelerated iron corrosion likely yielding to rapid clogging.
58 Generally, filter clogging is solved by using mechanical and chemical cleaning. The systems
59 discussed in this communication should not need any cleaning operation apart from some possible
60 intermittent additions of boiled water or lemon juice as recently discussed [17].

61 The aim of this communication is to bring some design of Fe^0 /sand filter based on proper theoretical
62 evaluation. A reactive zone containing Fe^0 is incorporated in the fine sand layer of a conventional
63 slow sand filter. The proportion of Fe^0 in this reactive zone varied from 0 to 100 %. The efficiency
64 of the resulting Fe^0 /sand filters are discussed. The efficiency of each filter was evaluated by the
65 extent of filling of the initial pore space upon Fe^0 depletion. A range of suitable combination of Fe^0
66 and sand as filter media was deduced. For the sake of clarity, the process of aqueous iron corrosion
67 and its use in water treatment will be recalled.

68 **2 Aqueous iron corrosion and contaminant removal in Fe^0 filters**

69 Filtration through a layer of a suitable medium or a combination of media (anthracite, gravel, sand)
70 is an important process for water treatment [34, 35]. Based on the rate of filtration, filters are
71 divided into slow and rapid types. Rapid filters mostly clarify water by physical and
72 physicochemical processes with less biological mechanisms. In slow filters on the contrary,
73 biological action plays an important role. Household iron filters discussed in this communication
74 are primarily slow filters. Due to improper consideration of the expansive nature of iron oxidative

75 dissolution (at $\text{pH} > 4.5$) in a porous Fe^0 bed, early attempts to use Fe^0 as filter medium was
76 nonsatisfying in term of acceptable service life [9, 17]. Properly considering the mechanism of iron
77 corrosion will certainly bring some design information for the theoretical evaluation of the filter
78 service life.

79 **2.1 Aqueous iron corrosion and filter clogging**

80 Aqueous Fe^0 oxidation or immersed iron corrosion (without external current) can be regarded as a
81 series of galvanic reactions [36, 37]. At the iron/water interface, ferrous ions (Fe^{2+}) generated from
82 the Fe^0 initially form a Fe^{II} hydroxide intermediate [$\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$]. In the presence of suitable oxidizing
83 agents (contaminants, MnO_2 , O_2), $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$ oxidizes to an amorphous Fe^{III} oxide scale [$\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$].
84 The accumulated corrosion layer restricts diffusion of dissolved oxidizing agents (including O_2),
85 allowing the formation of an inner layer of magnetite (Fe_3O_4). This magnetite layer is less
86 permeable and can effectively inhibit O_2 diffusion from the bulk solution to the bare Fe^0 [38].
87 Within this local anaerobic environment, sulfate-reducing bacteria may impact the corrosion process
88 over time. Under such conditions, a ferric layer of lepidocrocite ($\gamma\text{-FeOOH}$) can be formed and be
89 transformed to a sulfated green rust [$\text{GR}(\text{SO}_4^{2-})$]. Other identified iron corrosion products included:
90 goethite ($\alpha\text{-FeOOH}$), akaganeite ($\beta\text{-FeOOH}$), and maghemite ($\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$) [39-41]. In general, the
91 solution pH, the abundance of dissolved O_2 (and other oxidizing agents), the dissolved ionic species,
92 and the time-scale of the experiment can influence the nature of iron corrosion products [12].

93 The presentation above clearly shows that aqueous iron corrosion inevitably generates various iron
94 oxides which are larger in volume than iron in the metal lattice [42-45]. This volumetric expansion
95 is the fundamental cause of progressive filter fouling. Therefore, adsorption of fouling substances
96 and cake formation will not be further considered in this work as they are clearly of secondary
97 importance. On the other hand, adsorption of fouling substances can be ruled out as the Fe^0 reactive
98 zone is implemented within a fine sand layer of a conventional Biosand filter [14]. As concerning
99 cake formation, mixing Fe^0 and sand is a tool to retard or even eliminate this process.

100 **2.2 Contaminant removal in an iron filter**

101 While intentionally ignoring the interactions between Fe^0 and water, the initial filtration in an iron
102 filter is comparable to slow sand filtration (Fig. 1). As expansive corrosion products are generated,
103 the initial pore space in the filter is progressively filled and the system is gradually transformed to
104 an ultra-filtration one. Accordingly, regardless from physico-chemical interactions between
105 contaminants, iron and corrosion products, contaminant removal by pure size exclusion (or
106 adsorptive size exclusion) will inevitably occur with increasing service life. This fundamental
107 aspect has received little attention to date as the scientific community was focused on interactions
108 between selected contaminants and Fe^0 . In this effort a particular attention was paid to chemical
109 transformations of the contaminants [13, 46-50].

110 The next important feature concerns the dynamic nature of iron oxide formation within the filter. It

111 has already been demonstrated that contaminants are fundamentally entrapped within the film of
112 corrosion products in the vicinity of the Fe^0 surface [25-28]. It is essential to note that the formation
113 of corrosion products is a cycle of expansion/contraction [27] occurring in the pore space. During
114 this process native iron (Fe^0 : SSA < 1 m^2/g) is first transformed to voluminous iron hydroxides
115 possibly having specific surface area (SSA) > 500 m^2/g before progressively contracted to
116 amorphous and crystalline oxides with SSA \leq 10 m^2/g . The voluminous colloid which is
117 intermediary formed [51] during an expansion/contraction cycle can be compared to a spider web
118 which traps inflowing contaminants and keeps them adsorbed while the colloid is further
119 transformed. In other words, before the pore space becomes close enough for the Fe^0 filter to act as
120 an ultra-filtration system, the expansion/contraction cycle traps contaminants from the infiltrating
121 water. It is certain, that the kinetics of iron oxidation will decrease as soon as not enough space is
122 available for expansive corrosion. This is a possible explanation for the controversial observation,
123 that TCE removal rates were higher in a system with 85 wt-% Fe^0 than they were in a 100 % Fe^0
124 system (0 % sand) [52]. In the present study substituting a portion of Fe^0 by sand (an inert material)
125 targets at retarding filter clogging.

126 **3 Retarding filter fouling**

127 Previous efforts mixing inert materials (e.g. pumice, sand) and Fe^0 in remediation $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ systems
128 targeted at: (i) trapping dissolved O_2 to enable anoxic conditions in the subsequent 100 % Fe^0 zone

129 [39], (ii) retarding pore filling as inert materials will not generate new voluminous particles [39, 52],
130 (iii) controlling pH as inert materials will not contribute to pH elevation [53, 54], and (iv)
131 minimizing Fe⁰ installation costs by reducing the amount of Fe⁰ to be used [52, 55], In previous
132 works, it was commonplace to consider that mixing Fe⁰ with sand will diminish the rates of the
133 decontamination process: (i) by decreasing the number of reactive sites, and (ii) by lowering the
134 kinetic of contaminant mass transfer to the Fe⁰ surface [52, 56, 57].

135 In the present study it is acknowledged that Fe⁰ filters are primarily filtrating systems (contaminant
136 removal by adsorptive size exclusion and co-precipitation). Accordingly, a Fe⁰/sand filter is
137 regarded as iron assisted slow sand filtration system (or iron assisted biosand filter) [14, 17, 58, 59].

138 In such a system, contaminants are primarily removed by adsorptive size exclusion. In the Fe⁰/sand
139 reactive zone, size exclusion is actively improved by: (i) the cycle of expansion/contraction
140 accompanying iron corrosion as discussed above, and (ii) iron corrosion products progressively
141 filling the pore space possibly yielding to an ultra-filtration system (and at the term to a clogged
142 system when the porosity is zero).

143 Ideally, an optimal proportion of Fe⁰ in the Fe⁰/sand reactive zone of a filter should exist for which
144 efficient water treatment and long-term reactivity of Fe⁰ is achieved. Similarly, (i) a critical minimal
145 proportion of Fe⁰ should exist beyond which filters are not significantly more efficient than biosand
146 filters, and (ii) a critical maximal proportion of Fe⁰ should exist above which filter clogging will

147 rapidly occur. The possibility of adding calculated amounts of Fe^0 to assist biosand filter will be
148 discussed in the next section on the basis of an hypothetical case. Because size exclusion depends on
149 the available pore in the inter-granular space of the filter bed, the discussion will be based on the
150 volume occupied by initial particles (Fe^0 and sand). That is the volume available for in-situ
151 generated iron corrosion products (ICPs). Clearly, the volumetric proportion of Fe^0 (vol-%) in the
152 initial filter should be preferentially given. Actually the weight percent (wt-%) is routinely given [52,
153 56, 57]. However, given the large difference of specific weight (ρ in kg/m^3) between iron (7,800
154 kg/m^3) and inert materials ($< 2,700 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$), the weight percent is not primarily appropriate.

155 **4 Discussing Fe^0 :sand ratios in a filter**

156 **4.1 Design**

157 A conventional household biosand filter builds in a cylinder with 90 cm height and 30 cm width
158 (Fig. 1a) will be modified to contain a reactive zone with $\leq 3 \text{ kg } \text{Fe}^0$ in the fine sand layer (Fig. 1b).
159 In a conventional biosand filter the fine sand layer is about 40 to 50 cm high. [14] The aim of this
160 investigation is to introduce a reactive zone (rz) of Fe^0 with a thickness $H_{\text{rz}} \leq 10 \text{ cm}$ in the fine sand
161 layer.

162 The used iron fillings are spherical in shape with an average diameter of 1.2 mm. Spherical sand
163 particles of comparable particle size are used to “dilute” Fe^0 . Calculations show that $N = 425,093$
164 Fe^0 particles are equivalent to 3 kg according to Eq. 1:

165
$$N = \frac{M}{\rho_{\text{Fe}} \cdot 4/3\pi \cdot R_0^3} \quad (1)$$

166 where M is the mass of Fe (3 kg), ρ_{Fe} is the specific weight of Fe (7,800 kg/m³) and R_0 is the initial
167 radius of the Fe particle (6 10⁻⁴ m).

168 The same number of sand particles (as quartz with specific weight: $\rho_{\text{sand}} = 2,650 \text{ kg/m}^3$) weights
169 1.02 kg. These calculations demonstrate that mixing sand and Fe⁰ in a 1:1 proportion (50 vol-%),
170 yields a weight percent of 74.6 % for Fe⁰ and 25.4 % for sand. The relation between the vol-% and
171 the wt-% depends on the relative specific weights of mixed materials. A proper discussion on the
172 evolution of the porosity is necessarily based on the vol-%.

173 It is assumed that Fe₃O₄ is the sole iron corrosion product. This assumption is justified by the fact
174 that a biosand filter ideally works under anoxic conditions. Therefore, the coefficient of volumetric
175 expansion η defined as the ratio between the volume of expansive corrosion products ($V_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4}$) to
176 the volume of iron in the metallic lattice (V_{Fe}) is 2.1.

177 **4.2 Rationale for the used design**

178 The used Fe⁰ mass (3 kg) corresponds to the minimum iron amount that was used in the
179 three-pitcher filter in Bangladesh and Nepal [1, 58, 60]. The three-pitcher filter was very effective in
180 arsenic removal for about six weeks, afterwards a decrease in removal efficiency was observed.
181 Accordingly, the Fe⁰ containing pitcher would have been replaced up to eight times per year.
182 Moreover, the iron fillings in the filter became clogged and hardened (is transformed to a “cake”)

183 while treated water contained high iron concentration. On the other hand, despite effectiveness in
184 arsenic removal, the bacteriological contamination in the effluent water was sometimes high. Based
185 on these limitations, Fe⁰ filters were quickly abandoned and replaced by two other devices: (i) Fe⁰
186 assisted biosand filters or Kanchan Arsenic Filters (KAF) [15, 16], and (ii) the SONO Arsenic Filter
187 (SAF) [1,13]. In SAF Fe⁰ is replaced by a composite iron matrix (CIM) made up of Fe⁰ and
188 manganese oxides [1]. CIM has the property to sustain Fe⁰ oxidation and thus in-situ produces
189 reactive iron oxides for continuous As removal. Independent researchers have reported on the
190 superiority of SAF on KAF [50]. On the other hand, first attempts to reproduce KAF in Vietnam
191 were not successful [60-62]. One major reason of failure of KAF should be that the As removal unit
192 ideally depletes dissolved oxygen necessary for effectiveness of biosand filters. The present design
193 takes into account the enumerated weakness of three-pitcher filters and KAF filters.

194 **4.3 Improved Fe⁰ assisted biosand filters**

195 Contaminant removal in slow sand filters ideally occurs in an in-situ generated biofilm (so-called
196 Schmutzdecke). The Schmutzdecke is a biologically active layer consisting of algae, bacteria,
197 diatoms and zooplankton. A ripening period of 6 to 8 weeks is required for this layer to form, during
198 which time filter performance is sub-optimal [63]. Despite 130 years expertise with biosand filters,
199 complete pathogen removal can not be guaranteed [14, 17]. On the other hand, the removal of
200 chemical contaminants is not their primary target. Therefore, incorporating a Fe⁰/sand zone in the

201 fine sand layer (Fig. 1) will increase the filter performance for microbial decontamination while
202 certainly remove chemical contaminants as discussed above. The next section discusses the
203 proportion of sand in the reactive zone.

204 **4.4 Proportion of Fe⁰ in the reactive zone**

205 The experience with the three-pitcher filters taught that a reactive layer of 3 kg Fe⁰ will be effective
206 only for some six weeks; afterwards the whole layer is transformed to a sort of “cake”. As discussed
207 above replacing a portion of Fe⁰ by an inert material will delay or even avoid cake formation. To
208 test the suitability of Fe⁰:sand mixtures to sustain the long-term reactivity of Fe⁰ assisted biosand
209 filters, evolution of the residual porosity as function of the initial percent Fe⁰ in the reactive zone is
210 determined from a modeling of the filling of the pore space by iron corrosion products.

211 **4.5 Modeling the evolution of the residual porosity**

212 For granular materials, the compactness C (-) is defined as the ratio of the volume of the particles to
213 the total packing volume (V = volume of the reactive zone). Considering the granular material (sand
214 and Fe⁰) as composed of mono-dispersed spheres subjected to soft vibrations, the compactness C is
215 generally considered to be equal to 0.64 for a random close packing. The virtual compactness value
216 ($C = \frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{2}} = 0.74$) for mono-dispersed spheres will not be considered in this study as it is far from
217 reality [64-66]. In laboratory studies, the experimental value for compactness for each system can
218 be determined and used to discuss achieved results.

219 The initial porosity Φ_0 (-) of the reactive zone is then given by:

$$220 \quad \Phi_0 = 1 - C \quad (2)$$

221 The filling of the porosity by iron corrosion products can be estimated from a simplified modelling
222 (Fig. 2) based on the following assumptions:

223 (i) uniform corrosion: the diameter reduction of the particle is the same for all the Fe particles,

224 (ii) iron corrosion products are fluid enough to progressively fill available pore space,

225 (iii) the compactness C and then the initial porosity Φ_0 remain constant. The volume of the granular
226 material is not modified by the corrosion process: no pressure induced by rust formation around Fe
227 particles and no compaction of the Fe^0 /sand mixture during the corrosion process.

228 With these hypotheses the residual porosity Φ can be given as a function of the volume of the iron
229 corrosion products $V_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4}$ (m^3) according to:

$$230 \quad \Phi = \Phi_0 - \frac{(V_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4} - V_{\text{consumed Fe}})}{V} \quad (3)$$

231 where $V_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4} - V_{\text{consumed Fe}}$ (m^3) is the volume of the iron corrosion product without the volume of the
232 consumed Fe^0 and V (m^3) is the volume of the reactive zone.

233 The volume of the iron corrosion product without the volume of the consumed Fe^0
234 ($V_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4} - V_{\text{consumed Fe}}$) is given by:

$$235 \quad V_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4} - V_{\text{consumed Fe}} = N \cdot (\eta - 1) \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \pi (R_0^3 - R^3) \quad (4)$$

236 where R (m) is the radius of the consumed iron particle and η the coefficient of volumetric

237 expansion.

238 The proportion of consumed iron (% consumed Fe) is given by:

$$239 \quad \% \text{consumedFe} = 100 \cdot \left(\frac{R_0^3 - R^3}{R_0^3} \right) \quad (5)$$

240 It is possible to determine the proportion of consumed iron when the volume of the iron corrosion
241 product without the volume of the consumed Fe ($V_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4} - V_{\text{consumed Fe}}$) is equal to the initial porosity
242 Φ_0 (m^3) according to:

$$243 \quad \% \text{consumedFe} (V_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4} - V_{\text{consumed Fe}} = \Phi_0) = 100 \cdot \left(\frac{\Phi_0}{N \cdot (\eta - 1) \cdot 4 / 3 \cdot \pi R_0^3} \right) \quad (6)$$

244 **4.6 Discussion of modeling results**

245 Calculations shown that the depth (or thickness) of a reactive zone of 425,093 spherical particles of
246 1.2 mm diameter (equivalent to 3 kg Fe^0 in a three-pitcher-like filter) is 8.5 mm vs. 30 mm (3 cm) in
247 the device discussed by Pokhrel et al. [59]. While Fe^0 is spherical here, the samples used in some
248 three-pitcher filters were commercial iron nails. Currently, granular Fe^0 is mostly available as chips
249 or fillings. Therefore, for real situations the weights (kg) of iron and sand from Table 1 should be
250 used. The calculation results are summarized in Table 1. Figure 3 shows the percent variation of the
251 residual porosity as the proportion of sand in the reactive zone of the filter varies from 0 (biosand
252 filter) to 100 % (three-pitcher filter). The results show progressive uniform decrease of the porosity
253 for all Fe^0 -containing systems ($\text{Fe}^0 > 0$) yielding at the term to filter clogging for vol-% $\text{Fe}^0 > 51$.

254 The kinetics of filter clogging under atmospheric conditions depends on Fe^0 intrinsic reactivity and
255 the water chemistry. Discussing this important issue is over the scope of this communication.
256 However, it can be postulated that using a calculated amount of a Fe^0 material of known reactivity,
257 an initial biosand filter (0 % Fe^0) can be transformed to an ultra-filtration system. For example, if
258 the depletion of used Fe^0 amount could reduce the initial porosity to 70 %. Such a filter should
259 initially contain less than 51 vol-% Fe^0 . Contaminant removal is due to two important processes (i)
260 size exclusion and species trapping during expansion/contraction cycles coupled with iron oxidation
261 within the pore space (before Fe^0 depletion) and (ii) pure size exclusion upon Fe^0 depletion.
262 An important feature from Figure 3 (also see Tab. 1) is that Fe^0 depletion could only occur for $0 <$
263 m_{Fe} (kg) ≤ 1.53 . This corresponds to the mass of iron which corrosion yields complete fouling of the
264 pore space. This result reveals that in a conventional three-pitcher-like filter up to 1.47 kg (from 3
265 kg) may be superfluous. It can be anticipated that the amount of really superfluous Fe^0 depends on
266 the intrinsic reactivity of the material. In all the cases, bringing more than 70 vol-% Fe^0 in the
267 reactive zone could be regarded as pure resource wastage. Furthermore, too high Fe^0 proportions
268 yield to more rapid clogging (or short filter service life) as uniform corrosion produces more Fe
269 oxides within the pore space (see below).

270 Figure 3 also shows the evolution of consumed and non-consumed Fe^0 as function of the initial
271 volumetric proportion of Fe^0 in the reactive zone. It can be seen that Fe^0 depletion (100 %

272 consumption) can occur only in systems with up to 51 vol-% iron. It appears that there are two types
273 of reactive zone: (i) type 1: $\text{Fe}^0 > \text{vol-51 \%}$, the pore space is totally filled with iron corrosion
274 products but iron is not depleted, and (ii) type 2: $\text{Fe}^0 < 51 \text{ vol-\%}$, iron is depleted but the pore space
275 is not totally filled by iron corrosion products. Depending on the reactivity of Fe^0 , it can be
276 postulated that filters with 30 to 55 vol-% Fe^0 may be very efficient for safe water production. The
277 optimal proportion in filters has to be ascertained in feasibility laboratory studies.

278 Recently, Gottinger [67] reported on a very efficient system containing only 30 vol-% Fe^0 for
279 efficient As removal in a pilot plant for a small community in Saskatchewan/Canada. The results of
280 Gottinger [67] could be regarded as the first validation of the conceptual approach presented here.
281 Gottinger's design was based on primary calculations considered as a rough estimate by the authors
282 [68, 69]. The present work has improved the calculus of Leupin et al. [68] in taking into account the
283 inter-granular voids in the Fe^0/sand bed. The results show that simple Fe^0/sand filters (3-Kolshi
284 filters, KAF) have been abandoned because of lack of system understanding. The theoretical
285 calculations of the present study and the encouraging results of Gottinger [67] suggest that the huge
286 potential of Fe^0/sand filters is yet to be exploited.

287 **4.7 Expanding the reactive zone**

288 The presentation above has shown two important features: (i) a good Fe^0 filter may contain only
289 about 40 vol-% Fe^0 mixed with sand; (ii) with 3 kg Fe^0 a reactive zone is only 8.5 mm high.

290 Realizing such a thin homogeneous reactive zone with a mixture of Fe^0 and sand necessarily
291 requires particular experimental aptitudes and could be a laborious task. On the other hand, a filter
292 with only less than 1.50 kg Fe^0 may be of limited service life. Therefore, one could keep the Fe^0
293 mass constant to 3 kg and vary the mass of sand to achieve various percent of Fe^0 in the reactive
294 zone. In this effort, it should be kept in mind, that the reactive zone should ideally not exceed 10 cm
295 ($1/4$ to $1/5$ of the fine sand layer). On the other hand the calculations above have shown that Fe^0
296 depletion occurs for too low Fe^0 proportions. In such cases, the desired enhancement of the biosand
297 filter performance could remain unsatisfactorily. Based on these considerations this discussion was
298 limited to $\text{Fe}^0 > 10\%$.

299 Table 2 shows that the result of dilution 3 kg Fe^0 with various amounts of sand is a thicker reactive
300 zone (up to 8.5 cm). The relative porosity Φ/Φ_0 versus the consumed iron proportion (% consumed
301 Fe) is shown in Table 2, Figure 3, and Figure 4. As discussed above, the trend is the same as for H_{rz}
302 = 8.5 mm. A similar identity is obtained for the evolution of the relative porosity Φ/Φ_0 (Fig 4).
303 Figure 3b gives a more specific image of both systems based on the evolution of the excess Fe^0
304 mass.

305 Figure 3b shows the evolution of a conventional three-pitcher filter (point A) as: (i) the thickness of
306 the reactive zone increases from 0.85 to 8.5 cm (line ABC), and (ii) the proportion of iron varies
307 from 100 to 10 vol-% in 0.85 cm reactive zone (line ADE). The line AFG corresponds to the

308 evolution of the excess Fe^0 (% non consumed) in the reactive zone with 3 kg Fe^0 . Point G (0 % Fe^0)
309 corresponds to a biosand filter. The line BDF corresponds to filter clogging (porosity equal to zero).
310 The major difference between both investigated systems (constant and variable reactive zones) is
311 reflected in the segment AB and AD. From D to A no additional Fe^0 consumption occurs whereas
312 from B to A, a progressive decrease of Fe^0 consumption is observed. The most important feature
313 from Figure 3b is that the filter corresponding to point A is the system with the largest iron excess
314 (line AF). Accordingly, the pore space is filled very quickly and the service life is very short.
315 Therefore, the three-pitcher is the worst possible Fe^0 filter. A better Fe^0 consumption could be
316 achieved by reducing the proportion of Fe^0 (ADE) or augmenting the proportion of sand (ABC).
317 While decreasing the Fe^0 proportion at constant reactive zone thickness, Fe^0 depletion was achieved
318 for systems > 51 vol-% Fe^0 . A similar result was achieved by extending the thickness of the reactive
319 zone. Extending the reactive zone is a better way to increase filter efficiency because more material
320 is consumed. More Fe^0 consumption is directly related to more iron corrosion products for
321 contaminant removal and improved size exclusion.

322 In the case of extending the reactive zone, the two types of reactive zones described for a constant
323 (8.5 mm) reactive zone are reproduced: (i) $\text{Fe}^0 > \text{vol-51 \%}$, filter clogging occurs without Fe^0
324 depletion; and (ii) $\text{Fe}^0 < 51 \%$, iron depletion occurs and no filter clogging is observed. Thus, a filter
325 concealing long-term reactivity and long term permeability will contain about 50 % Fe^0 . In this case,

326 3.0 kg Fe⁰ are used compared to 1.50 kg Fe⁰ in the other case (see Tab. 1 and Tab.2). Although the
327 actual kinetics of filter clogging depend on several factors, this principle calculation clearly showed
328 that a reactive zone of 100 % Fe⁰ (conventional three-pitcher filter) is pure material wastage. In
329 other words, mixing inert material to reactive Fe⁰ is a prerequisite for efficient iron filters.

330 The calculations above for two cases ($m_{\text{Fe}} \leq 3 \text{ kg}$ and $m_{\text{Fe}} = 3 \text{ kg}$) demonstrate the feasibility of
331 delaying filter clogging by rationally choosing the proportion of Fe⁰ in the filter. For each specific
332 couple (Fe⁰, contaminated water) researchers will have to identify the optimal Fe⁰ proportion in
333 their filters as the kinetics of filter clogging depend both on (i) material intrinsic reactivity
334 (susceptibility to corrosion) and on (ii) the aggressiveness of contaminated water. Additionally, the
335 specific contribution of contaminants and other dissolves species (including molecular size) to pore
336 fouling should be taken into account.

337 **5 Concluding remarks**

338 In this communication, the perspective regarding Fe⁰/H₂O systems as assisted slow sand filtration
339 systems [15, 16] is rationalized and theoretically optimized. Accordingly, a Fe⁰/H₂O system is a
340 slow sand filtration system which efficiency is improved by addition of a calculated amount of
341 metallic iron (Fe⁰). The aqueous corrosion by infiltrating raw water should ideally transform the
342 initial Fe⁰/H₂O filter to an ultra-filtration system. Improved efficiency is based on the volumetric
343 expansive nature of iron corrosion which should partly fill initial pore space in the biosand-like

344 filter. Calculations demonstrate improved efficient and extended service life while using about 40
345 vol-% of Fe⁰ mixed with sand.

346 To warrant quantitative contaminant removal in the system in the initial stage of the operation, the
347 entrance zone or a separate unit could contain very reactive powdered Fe⁰ material disseminated in
348 sand. The major output for this theoretical study is that very efficiency filters could be constructed
349 by very simple and low cost materials (Fe⁰ and sand). Intensive research is needed to realize this
350 noble goal. The fate of this concept will depend on the capacity of researchers to properly
351 characterize the reactivity of Fe⁰ materials and select appropriate ones for household filters. Results
352 will be up-scalable to field Fe⁰ permeable barriers. Two simple methods for material selection were
353 recently introduced [70-72].

354 Finally, it should be explicitly said that the approach considering remediation Fe⁰/H₂O systems as
355 primary filtration systems is not negating the complex chemical and physical processes (adsorption,
356 co-precipitation, desorption, oxidation, reduction) occurring in it. On the contrary, this approach
357 takes into account the fundamental fact that volumetric expansive iron corrosion needs free space to
358 occur optimally and explains why so many species have been successfully removed in systems
359 designed for individual compounds [1, 13, 50, 73, 74]. For example, Johnson et al. [74] reported
360 decrease in sulfate, carbonate, and calcium concentration in a barrier designed to remove explosives
361 from contaminated groundwater. Constructing efficient Fe⁰/sand filters is an engineering challenge

362 for the water treatment community.

363 **Acknowledgments**

364 Sven Hellbach (student research assistant) is acknowledged for technical assistance.

365 **References**

- 366 [1] S. Ahamed, A.K.M. Munir, A. Hussam, Groundwater arsenic removal technologies based on
367 sorbents: Field applications and sustainability. In Handbook of Water Quality and Water Purity,
368 Elsevier Inc. **2009**, Chapter 16, 379.
- 369 [2] J.M. Arnal, B. Garcia-Fayos, G. Verdu, J. Lora, Ultrafiltration as an alternative membrane
370 technology to obtain safe drinking water from surface water: 10 years of experience on the
371 scope of the AQUAPOT project *Desalination* **2009**, 248 (1-3), 34.
- 372 [3] E. Awuah, R.T. Morris, P.A. Owusu, R. Sundell, J. Lindstrom, Evaluation of simple methods of
373 arsenic removal from domestic water supplies in rural communities. *Desalination* **2009**, 248
374 (1-3), 42.
- 375 [4] L.J. Banasiak, A.I. Schäfer, Removal of inorganic trace contaminants by electrodialysis in a
376 remote Australian community. *Desalination* **2009**, 248 (1-3), 48.
- 377 [5] A. Gadgil, Drinking water in developing countries. *Annu. Rev. Energy Environ.* **1998**, 23, 253.
- 378 [6] L. Groendijk, H.E. de Vries, Development of a mobile water maker, a sustainable way to
379 produce safe drinking water in developing countries. *Desalination* **2009**, 248 (1-3), 106.

- 380 [7] C. Hårleman, G. Jacks, B. Rybeck, The use of a clinoptilolite-based filter in emergency
381 situations. *Desalination* **2009**, 248 (1-3), 629.
- 382 [8] P.R. Hunter, Household water treatment in developing countries: comparing different
383 intervention types using meta-regression. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (23), 8991.
- 384 [9] A.H. Malik, Z.M. Khan, S. Mahmood, S. Nasreen, Z.A. Bhatti, Perspectives of low cost arsenic
385 remediation of drinking water in Pakistan and other countries. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, 168 (1),
386 1.
- 387 [10] W.-P. Schmidt, S. Cairncross, Household water treatment in poor populations: Is there enough
388 evidence for scaling up now? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (14), 986.
- 389 [11] W.-P. Schmidt, S. Cairncross, Response to comment on “Household water treatment in poor
390 populations: Is there enough evidence for scaling up now?” *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43
391 (14), 5545.
- 392 [12] T.C. Timmes, H.-C. Kim, B.A. Dempsey, Electrocoagulation pretreatment of seawater prior to
393 ultrafiltration: Pilot-scale applications for military water purification systems. *Desalination*
394 **2010**, 250 (1), 6.
- 395 [13] A. Hussam, A.K.M. Munir, A simple and effective arsenic filter based on composite iron matrix:
396 Development and deployment studies for groundwater of Bangladesh. *J. Environ. Sci. Health*
397 *A* **2007**, 42, 1869.

- 398 [14] Lea, M. Biological sand filters: low-cost bioremediation technique for production of clean
399 drinking water. *Curr. Prot. Microbiol.* **2008**, 1G.1.1-1G.1.28.
- 400 [15] T.K.K. Ngai, S. Murcott,, R.R. Shrestha, B. Dangol, M. Maharjan, Development and
401 dissemination of Kanchan™ Arsenic Filter in rural Nepal. *Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply*
402 **2006**, 6, 137.
- 403 [16] T.K.K. Ngai, R.R. Shrestha, B. Dangol, M. Maharjan, S.E. Murcott, Design for sustainable
404 development – Household drinking water filter for arsenic and pathogen treatment in Nepal. *J.*
405 *Environ. Sci. Health A* **2007**, 42, 1879.
- 406 [17] C. Noubactep, A. Schöner, P. Wofo, Metallic iron filters for universal access to safe drinking
407 water. *Clean* **2009**, 37 (12), 930.
- 408 [18] S. Madaeni, The application of membrane technology for water disinfection. *Water Res.* **1999**,
409 33 (2), 301.
- 410 [19] J.G. Minnery, J.G. Jacangelo, L.I. Boden, D.J. Vorhees, W. Heiger-Bernays, Sensitivity analysis
411 of the pressure-based direct integrity test for membranes used in drinking water treatment.
412 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (24), 9419.
- 413 [20] M. Peter-Varbanets, C. Zurbrügg, C. Swartz, W. Pronk, Decentralized systems for potable
414 water and the potential of membrane technology. *Water Res.* **2009**, 43 (2), 245.
- 415 [21] X.-m. Wang, T.D. Waite, Role of gelling soluble and colloidal microbial products in membrane

- 416 fouling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (24), 9341.
- 417 [22] W. Yang, N. Cicek, J. Ilg, State-of-the-art of membrane bioreactors: Worldwide research and
418 commercial applications in North America. *J. Membrane Sci.* **2006**, 270, 201.
- 419 [23] R. Meierhofer, G. Landolt, Factors supporting the sustained use of solar water disinfection –
420 Experiences from a global promotion and dissemination programme. *Desalination* **2009**, 248
421 (1-3), 144.
- 422 [24] WHO. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality, Recommendations, 3rd ed.; World Health
423 Organization: Geneva, 2006; Vol. 1.
- 424 [25] C. Noubactep, Processes of contaminant removal in “Fe⁰-H₂O” systems revisited. The
425 importance of co-precipitation. *Open Environ. J.* **2007**, 1 (1), 9.
- 426 [26] C. Noubactep, A critical review on the mechanism of contaminant removal in Fe⁰-H₂O
427 systems. *Environ. Technol.* **2008**, 29 (8), 909.
- 428 [27] C. Noubactep, The suitability of metallic iron for environmental remediation. *Environ. Progr.*
429 **2010**, doi: 10.1002/ep.10406.
- 430 [28] C. Noubactep, A. Schöner, M. Sauter, *Significance of oxide-film in discussing the mechanism of*
431 *contaminant removal by elemental iron materials*. In "Photo-Electrochemistry &
432 Photo-Biology for the Sustainability"; S. Kaneco, B. Viswanathan, H. Katsumata (Eds.),
433 Bentham Science Publishers, **2010**, 1, 34.

- 434 [29] C. Noubactep, Better drinking water for rural regions of Africa. (in German) In Afrika &
435 Wissenschaft **2008**, 1, 36.
- 436 [30] C. Noubactep, P. Wofo, Elemental iron (Fe^0) for better drinking water in rural areas of
437 developing countries. In Merkel B.J., Hasche-Berger A. (Eds.) *Uranium in the Environment*.
438 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg **2008**, 121.
- 439 [31] Y. You, J. Han, P.C. Chiu, Y. Jin, Removal and inactivation of waterborne viruses using
440 zerovalent iron. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, 39 (23), 9263.
- 441 [32] L. Li, C.H. Benson, E.M. Lawson, Impact of mineral fouling on hydraulic behavior of
442 permeable reactive barriers. *Ground Water* **2005**, 43 (4), 582.
- 443 [33] L. Li, C.H. Benson, E.M. Lawson, Modeling porosity reductions caused by mineral fouling in
444 continuous-wall permeable reactive barriers. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **2006**, 83 (1-2), 89.
- 445 [34] R.E. Arndt, E.J. Wagner, Rapid and slow sand filtration techniques and their efficacy at
446 filtering triactinomyxons of *Myxobolus Cerebralis* from contaminated water. *North Am. J.*
447 *Aquacul.* **2004**, 66, 261.
- 448 [35] N.C. Thanh, S. Vigneswaran, C. Polprasert, Optimization of dual-media filter performance. *J.*
449 *Am. Water Res. Ass.* **1979**, 15, 1459.
- 450 [36] H. Klas, H. Steinrath, *Iron corrosion and its abatement*. (in German) Stahleisen, Düsseldorf
451 **1974**, 632 pp.

- 452 [37] C. Noubactep, A. Schöner, Metallic iron for environmental remediation: Learning from
453 electrocoagulation. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2010**, 175 (1-3), 1075.
- 454 [38] M. Stratmann, J. Müller, The mechanism of the oxygen reduction on rust-covered metal
455 substrates. *Corros. Sci.* **1994**, 36 (2), 327.
- 456 [39] P.D. Mackenzie, P.D. Horney, T.M. Sivavec, Mineral precipitation and porosity losses in
457 granular iron columns. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **1999**, 68 (1-2), 1.
- 458 [40] D.H. Phillips, D.B. Watson, Y. Roh, B. Gu, Mineralogical characteristics and transformations
459 during long-term operation of a zerovalent iron reactive barrier, *J. Environ. Qual.* **2003**, 32 (6),
460 2033.
- 461 [41] Y. Roh, S.Y. Lee, M.P. Elless, Characterization of corrosion products in the permeable reactive
462 barriers. *Environ. Geol.* **2000**, 40 (1-2), 184.
- 463 [42] K. Bhargava, A.K. Ghosh, Y. Mori, S. Ramanujam, Model for cover cracking due to rebar
464 corrosion in RC structures. *Eng. Struct.* **2006**, 28 (8), 1093.
- 465 [43] S. Caré, Q.T. Nguyen, V. L'Hostis, Y. Berthaud, Mechanical properties of the rust layer induced
466 by impressed current method in reinforced mortar. *Cement Concrete Res.* **2008**, 38 (8-9),
467 1079.
- 468 [44] S. Caré, Q.T. Nguyen, K. Beddiar, Y. Berthaud, Times to cracking in reinforced mortar beams
469 subjected to accelerated corrosion tests. *Mater. Struct.* **2010**, 43 (1-2), 107.

- 470 [45] T. El. Maaddawy, K. Soudki, A model for prediction of time from corrosion initiation to
471 corrosion cracking. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2007**, 29 (3), 168.
- 472 [46] P. Bayer, M. Finkel, Life cycle assessment of active and passive groundwater remediation
473 technologies. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **2006**, 83 (3-4), 171.
- 474 [47] A.D. Henderson, A.H. Demond, Long-term performance of zero-valent iron permeable reactive
475 barriers: A critical review. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2007**, 24 (4), 401.
- 476 [48] M.R. Higgins, T.M. Olson, Life-cycle case study comparison of permeable reactive barrier
477 versus pump-and-treat remediation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (24), 9432.
- 478 [49] M.M. Scherer, S. Richter, R.L. Valentine, P.J.J. Alvarez, Chemistry and microbiology of
479 permeable reactive barriers for in situ groundwater clean up. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**,
480 30 (3), 363.
- 481 [50] Md. Shafiquzzaman, Md.S. Azam, I. Mishima, J. Nakajima, Technical and social evaluation of
482 arsenic mitigation in rural Bangladesh. *J. Health Popul. Nutr.* **2009**, 27, 674.
- 483 [51] L. Livage, J. Lemerle, Transition metal oxide gels and colloids. *Ann. Rev. Mater. Sci.* **1982**, 12,
484 103.
- 485 [52] E. Bi, J.F. Devlin, B. Huang, Effects of mixing granular iron with sand on the kinetics of
486 trichloroethylene reduction. *Ground Water Monit. Remed.* **2009**, 29 (2), 56.
- 487 [53] D.R. Burris, T.J. Campbell, V.S. Manoranjan, Sorption of trichloroethylene and

- 488 tetrachloroethylene in a batch reactive metallic iron-water system. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**,
- 489 29 (11), 2850.
- 490 [54] Y.X. Wu, L.D. Slater, N. Korte, Effect of precipitation on low frequency electrical properties of
- 491 zerovalent iron columns. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, 39 (23), 9197.
- 492 [55] R.M. Powell, R.W. Puls, Proton generation by dissolution of intrinsic or augmented
- 493 aluminosilicate minerals for in situ contaminant remediation by zero-valence-state iron.
- 494 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, 31 (8), 2244.
- 495 [56] J.F. Devlin, J. Patchen, The effect of diluting granular iron with a non-reactive porous medium
- 496 on contaminant transformation rates. 5th Joint Conference of the IAH-CNC and the Canadian
- 497 Geotechnical Society (CGS), October 24–27 (**2004**), Quebec City.
- 498 [57] S.F. O’Hannesin, R.W. Gillham, Long-term performance of an in situ “iron wall” for
- 499 remediation of VOCs. *Ground Water* **1998**, 36 (1), 164.
- 500 [58] A. Neku, N. Tandulkar, An overview of arsenic contamination in groundwater of Nepal and its
- 501 removal at household level. *J. Phys. IV* **2003**, 107, 941.
- 502 [59] D. Pokhrel, B.S. Bhandari, T. Viraraghavan, Arsenic contamination of groundwater in the Terai
- 503 region of Nepal: An overview of health concerns and treatment options. *Environ. Int.* **2009**, 35,
- 504 157.
- 505 [60] H. Chiew, M.L. Sampson, S. Huch, S. Ken, B.C. Bostick, Effect of groundwater iron and

- 506 phosphate on the efficacy of arsenic removal by iron-amended biosand filters. *Environ. Sci.*
507 *Technol.* **2009**, 43 (16), 6295.
- 508 [61] C. Noubactep, Comments on "Effect of groundwater iron and phosphate on the efficacy of
509 arsenic removal by iron-amended BioSand Filters". *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (22),
510 8698.
- 511 [62] S. Tuladhar, L.S. Smith, SONO filter: An excellent technology for save water in Nepal.
512 *SOPHEN* **2009**, 7, 18.
- 513 [63] E.D. Joubert, B. Pillay, Visualisation of the microbial colonisation of a slow sand filter using an
514 environmental scanning electron microscope. *Elect. J. Biotechnol.* **2008**; April 15, (ISSN:
515 0717-3458).
- 516 [64] D.J. Cumberland, R.J. Crawford, *Handbook of powder technology. The packing of particle* Vol.
517 6, J.C. Williams & T. Allen, Elsevier, Amsterdam (**1987**).
- 518 [65] F. de Larrard, *Granular structure and formulation of concretes* (in French), Etudes et
519 recherches des Laboratoires des ponts et chaussées 34, Laboratoire central des ponts et
520 chaussées, Paris (**1999**), 414 pp.
- 521 [66] A. Nur, G. Mavko, J. Dvorkin, D. Galmudi, Critical porosity; a key to relating physical
522 properties to porosity in rocks. *The Leading Edge* **1998**, 17 (March 1998), 357.
- 523 [67] A.M. Gottinger *Chemical-free arsenic removal from potable water with a ZVI-amended*

- 524 *biofilter*. Master thesis, University of Regina (Saskatchewan, Canada) **2010**, 90 pp.
- 525 [68] O.X. Leupin, S.J. Hug, Oxidation and removal of arsenic (III) from aerated groundwater by
526 filtration through sand and zero-valent iron. *Wat. Res.* **2005**, 39 (9), 1729.
- 527 [69] O.X. Leupin, S.J. Hug, A.B.M. Badruzzaman, Arsenic removal from Bangladesh tube well
528 water with filter columns containing zerovalent iron filings and sand. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*
529 **2005** 39 (20), 8032.
- 530 [70] C. Noubactep, G. Meinrath, P. Dietrich, M. Sauter, B. Merkel, Testing the suitability of
531 zerovalent iron materials for reactive walls. *Environ. Chem.* **2005**, 2 (1), 71.
- 532 [71] C. Noubactep, T. Licha, T.B. Scott, M. Fall, M. Sauter, Exploring the influence of operational
533 parameters on the reactivity of elemental iron materials. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, 172 (2-3),
534 943.
- 535 [72] C. Noubactep, Characterizing the reactivity of metallic iron upon methylene blue discoloration
536 in $\text{Fe}^0/\text{MnO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ systems. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, 168 (2-3), 1613.
- 537 [73] R.L. Johnson, R.B. Thoms, R.O'B. Johnson, T. Krug, Field evidence for flow reduction through
538 a zero-valent iron permeable reactive barrier. *Ground Water Monit. Remed.* **2008**, 28 (3), 47.
- 539 [74] R.L. Johnson, R.B. Thoms, R.O'B. Johnson, J.T. Nurmi, P.G. Tratnyek, Mineral precipitation
540 upgradient from a zero-valent iron permeable reactive barrier. *Ground Water Monit. Remed.*
541 **2008**, 28 (3), 56.

542 **Table 1:** Variation of the residual porosity (Φ/Φ_0) and Fe⁰ consumption ($[\text{Fe}]_\infty$) for long-term
543 operation as function of the initial iron proportion ($[\text{Fe}]_0$) in a reactive zone with 425,093
544 particles with 1.2 mm diameter. The compactness of the granular material is considered
545 to be $C = 0.64$ (see text). The thickness of the reactive zone is 0.85 cm (8.5 mm). Ideally,
546 iron depletion occurs for $[\text{Fe}]_0 < 51$ vol-% without filter clogging. On the contrary, filter
547 clogging occurs without material depletion for $[\text{Fe}]_0 > 51$ vol-%. This results suggest that
548 using filter with $[\text{Fe}]_0 > 70$ vol-% is pure material wastage.

549

$[\text{Fe}]_0$	$[\text{Fe}]_0$	$[\text{sand}]_0$	Φ/Φ_0	$[\text{Fe}]_\infty$	$[\text{Fe}]_\infty$
(vol-%)	(kg)	(kg)	(-)	(wt-%)	(kg)
0.0	0.0	1.02	100	/	/
10.0	0.30	0.92	80.4	100.0	0.30
20.0	0.60	0.82	60.9	100.0	0.60
30.0	0.90	0.71	41.3	100.0	0.90
40.0	1.20	0.61	21.8	100.0	1.20
50.0	1.50	0.51	2.2	100.0	1.50
51.1	1.53	0.50	0.0	100.0	1.53
60.0	1.80	0.41	0.0	85.2	1.53
70.0	2.10	0.31	0.0	73.0	1.53
80.0	2.40	0.20	0.0	63.9	1.53
90.0	2.70	0.10	0.0	56.8	1.53
100.0	3.00	0.00	0.0	51.1	1.53

550

551

551 **Table 2:** Composition and thickness (H_{rz}) of the reactive zone for 3 kg of Fe^0 , Fe^0 and sand particles

552 are 1.2 mm in diameter. The value $C = 0.64$ is considered for compactness (see text).

553

$[Fe]_0$	$[Fe]_0$	$[sand]_0$	H_{rz}	Φ/Φ_0	$[Fe]_\infty$	$[Fe]_\infty$
(vol-%)	(kg)	(kg)	(cm)	(-)	(wt-%)	(kg)
10.0	3.00	9.17	8.50	80.4	100.0	3.00
20.0	3.00	4.08	4.25	60.9	100.0	3.00
30.0	3.00	2.38	2.83	41.3	100.0	3.00
40.0	3.00	1.53	2.13	21.8	100.0	3.00
50.0	3.00	1.02	1.70	2.2	100.0	3.00
51.1	3.00	0.97	1.66	0.0	100.0	3.00
60.0	3.00	0.68	1.42	0.0	85.2	2.56
70.0	3.00	0.44	1.21	0.0	73.1	2.19
80.0	3.00	0.25	1.06	0.0	63.9	1.91
90.0	3.00	0.11	0.94	0.0	56.8	1.70
100.0	3.00	0.00	0.85	0.0	51.1	1.53

554

555

555 **Figure captions**

556 **Fig. 1:** Schematic diagrams of (a) a common BioSand filter and (b) an iron-reactive-zone containing
557 BioSand filter. The illustration highlights major principles and generic size dimensions. Modified
558 after ref. [14]. The thickness of the reactive layer containing up to 3 kg Fe⁰ discussed in the text
559 varies from 8.5 to 42.5 mm (< 5 cm).

560 **Figure 2:** Reactive zone of volumetric ratio Fe⁰:sand = 1:1 at the initial date (t₀) and at t >> t₀. At
561 any time > t₀ the pore space is filled of by iron corrosion products (rust). As iron corrosion proceeds
562 the pore space is progressively filled by rust. The net result is a porosity loss yielding filter clogging
563 at the term. Whether and when filter clogging occurs depends on the proportion of Fe⁰ in the
564 Fe⁰/sand system (see text).

565 **Figure 3:** Variation of the residual porosity (%), the proportion (%) and amount (g) of consumed
566 and non-consumed (excess) Fe⁰ as function of the initial volumetric proportion of Fe⁰ in the
567 Fe⁰/sand filters. In percent (%), the same trend is obtained in both systems for all parameters (a).
568 However, there is a net difference in the mass of consumed Fe⁰ (b). It is clear from Fig. 3b that in
569 the three-pitcher filter (point A, see text), iron consumption is minimal (shortest service life). The
570 lines are not fitting functions; they simply connect points to facilitate visualization.

571 **Figure 4:** Evolution of the relative porosity (Φ/Φ_0) of the reactive zone as function of the percent
572 Fe⁰ consumption for several Fe⁰/sand mixtures. Again the same trend is observed for (i) a 8.5 mm

573 reactive zone initially containing 0 to 3 kg Fe⁰ and (ii) a 8.5 to 42.5 mm reactive zone initially
574 containing 3 kg Fe⁰. It can be seen that for 51 vol-% iron (3 kg) and 49 vol-% sand (0.98 kg), the
575 pore space is filled at iron depletion. For Fe⁰ < 51 vol-%, no filter clogging will be observed, for Fe⁰
576 > 51 vol-% no material depletion could occur. This observation attests that a reactive zone of 100 %
577 Fe⁰ is pure material wastage (see text).